	Page 1	Page 2
	1490 1	_
CITY OF JERSEY CITY PLANNING BOARD		1 ALSO PRESENT: 2 TANYA MARIONE, A.I.C.P., P.P.
L. d M-44 C.		Director of Planning
In the Matter of: : Transcript		3 City Planning Division
CASE P22-140 :		4 MATT WARD, A.I.C.P., P.P.
: of PF ASSOCIATES, LLC :		Supervising Planner
152 Ogden Avenue : Proceedings		5 City Planning Division 6 CAMERON BLACK, A.I.C.P., P.P.
Block 5103, Lot 10 :		Senior Planner
Tuesday, November 28, 2023		7 City Planning Division
Zoom Remote Videoconference Commencing at 5:59 p.m.		8 FRANCISCO ESPINOZA
BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:		Senior Planner 9 City Planning Division
CHRISTOPHER LANGSTON, Chairman EDWARDO TORRES, Commissioner		10 ELIZABETH OPPER, A.I.C.P., P.P.
VIDYA GANGADIN, Commissioner		Urban Designer
DAVID CRUZ, Commissioner		11 City Planning Division
STEVE LIPSKI, Commissioner APPEARANCES:		12 MATTHEW da SILVA
SANTO T. ALAMPI, ESQUIRE		Senior Planner  13 City Planning Division
Attorney for the Board		13 City Planning Division 14 XUNRU HUANG
CONNELL FOLEY, LLP		Assistant Planner
BY: CHARLES J. HARRINGTON III, ESQUIR Attorneys for the Applicant	KE	15 City Planning Division
		16
CYNTHIA HADJIYANNIS, ESQUIRE Attorney for Interested Party		17 18
Anomey for incrested rarry		18
		20
MICHAEL LOMBARDOZZI,		21
Certified Shorthand Reporter		22
PRECISION REPORTING SERVICE		23 24
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS		25
(908) 642-4299		
	Page 3	Daga 4
	rage 5	Page 4
1 TABLE OF CONTENTS	rage 5	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new
2 WITNESS PAG		_
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind	EE	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto	GE on 13, 43	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyani	GE on 13, 43	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue.
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi	GE on 13, 43 nis 21	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyanr 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto	GE on 13, 43 nis 21	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyanr 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto	GE on 13, 43 nis 21	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyanr 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN	on 13, 43 nis 21 on 46	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyanr 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto	on 13, 43 nis 21 on 46	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again.
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE	on 13, 43 nis 21 on 46	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 EXHIBITS	on 13, 43 nis 21 on 46	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 E X H I B I T S 14 NO. DESCRIPTION	on 13, 43 nis 21 on 46	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 E X H I B I T S 14 NO. DESCRIPTION 15 A-1 Notice 5	DE	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for 13 the record that this application was previously
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 E X H I B I T S 14 NO. DESCRIPTION 15 A-1 Notice 5 16 A-2 Zoning board resolution for Case	DE	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for 13 the record that this application was previously 14 listed on the September 19th planning board agenda,
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 EXHIBITS 14 NO. DESCRIPTION 15 A-1 Notice 5 16 A-2 Zoning board resolution for Case Z23-040, dated 09/07/2023	DE	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for 13 the record that this application was previously 14 listed on the September 19th planning board agenda, 15 then was carried with preservation of notices to
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 EXHIBITS 14 NO. DESCRIPTION 15 A-1 Notice 5 16 A-2 Zoning board resolution for Case Z23-040, dated 09/07/2023	DE DOI 13, 43 DOI 13, 43 DOI 146 DOI 1	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for 13 the record that this application was previously 14 listed on the September 19th planning board agenda, 15 then was carried with preservation of notices to 16 October 10th, and then further to October 24th, and
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 EXHIBITS 14 NO. DESCRIPTION 15 A-1 Notice 5 16 A-2 Zoning board resolution for Case Z23-040, dated 09/07/2023 17 A-3 Aerial photo with markups	DE	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for 13 the record that this application was previously 14 listed on the September 19th planning board agenda, 15 then was carried with preservation of notices to
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 EXHIBITS 14 NO. DESCRIPTION 15 A-1 Notice 5 16 A-2 Zoning board resolution for Case Z23-040, dated 09/07/2023 17 A-3 Aerial photo with markups	PAGE  13  15	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for 13 the record that this application was previously 14 listed on the September 19th planning board agenda, 15 then was carried with preservation of notices to 16 October 10th, and then further to October 24th, and
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 EXHIBITS 14 NO. DESCRIPTION 15 A-1 Notice 5 16 A-2 Zoning board resolution for Case Z23-040, dated 09/07/2023 17 A-3 Aerial photo with markups	PAGE  13  15	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for 13 the record that this application was previously 14 listed on the September 19th planning board agenda, 15 then was carried with preservation of notices to 16 October 10th, and then further to October 24th, and 17 then further to November 14th, and then it carried us
2 WITNESS PAGE 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 EXHIBITS 14 NO. DESCRIPTION 15 A-1 Notice 5 16 A-2 Zoning board resolution for Case Z23-040, dated 09/07/2023 17 A-3 Aerial photo with markups 18 A-4 Colored site plan 16	PAGE  13  15	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for 13 the record that this application was previously 14 listed on the September 19th planning board agenda, 15 then was carried with preservation of notices to 16 October 10th, and then further to October 24th, and 17 then further to November 14th, and then it carried us 18 to today. So the notices that are of record are the
2 WITNESS PAGE 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 EXHIBITS 14 NO. DESCRIPTION 15 A-1 Notice 5 16 A-2 Zoning board resolution for Case Z23-040, dated 09/07/2023 17 A-3 Aerial photo with markups 18 A-4 Colored site plan 16 19 A-5 Aerial map with depiction of sites worked by Mr. Jundi	PAGE  13  15	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for 13 the record that this application was previously 14 listed on the September 19th planning board agenda, 15 then was carried with preservation of notices to 16 October 10th, and then further to October 24th, and 17 then further to November 14th, and then it carried us 18 to today. So the notices that are of record are the 19 ones that initially noticed for the September 19th 20 hearing date, with all the continuations.
2 WITNESS PAGE 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 EXHIBITS 14 NO. DESCRIPTION 15 A-1 Notice 5 16 A-2 Zoning board resolution for Case Z23-040, dated 09/07/2023 17 A-3 Aerial photo with markups 18 A-4 Colored site plan 16 19 A-5 Aerial map with depiction of sites worked by Mr. Jundi	PAGE  13  15	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for 13 the record that this application was previously 14 listed on the September 19th planning board agenda, 15 then was carried with preservation of notices to 16 October 10th, and then further to October 24th, and 17 then further to November 14th, and then it carried us 18 to today. So the notices that are of record are the 19 ones that initially noticed for the September 19th 20 hearing date, with all the continuations. 21 MR. ALAMPI: So good evening, Counsel.
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 EXHIBITS 14 NO. DESCRIPTION 15 A-1 Notice 5 16 A-2 Zoning board resolution for Case Z23-040, dated 09/07/2023 17 A-3 Aerial photo with markups 18 A-4 Colored site plan 16 19 A-5 Aerial map with depiction of sites worked by Mr. Jundi 21 22	PAGE  13  15	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for 13 the record that this application was previously 14 listed on the September 19th planning board agenda, 15 then was carried with preservation of notices to 16 October 10th, and then further to October 24th, and 17 then further to November 14th, and then it carried us 18 to today. So the notices that are of record are the 19 ones that initially noticed for the September 19th 20 hearing date, with all the continuations.  MR. ALAMPI: So good evening, Counsel. 21 MR. ALAMPI: So good evening, Counsel.
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 EXHIBITS 14 NO. DESCRIPTION 15 A-1 Notice 5 16 A-2 Zoning board resolution for Case Z23-040, dated 09/07/2023 17 A-3 Aerial photo with markups 18 A-4 Colored site plan 16 19 A-5 Aerial map with depiction of sites worked by Mr. Jundi 21 22 23	PAGE  13  15	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for 13 the record that this application was previously 14 listed on the September 19th planning board agenda, 15 then was carried with preservation of notices to 16 October 10th, and then further to October 24th, and 17 then further to November 14th, and then it carried us 18 to today. So the notices that are of record are the 19 ones that initially noticed for the September 19th 20 hearing date, with all the continuations.  MR. ALAMPI: So good evening, Counsel. 21 Counsel, we will mark those in one second. 23 But, Chairman, if we could have
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 EXHIBITS 14 NO. DESCRIPTION 15 A-1 Notice 5 16 A-2 Zoning board resolution for Case Z23-040, dated 09/07/2023 17 A-3 Aerial photo with markups 18 A-4 Colored site plan 16 19 A-5 Aerial map with depiction of sites worked by Mr. Jundi 21 22 23 24	PAGE  13  15	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for 13 the record that this application was previously 14 listed on the September 19th planning board agenda, 15 then was carried with preservation of notices to 16 October 10th, and then further to October 24th, and 17 then further to November 14th, and then it carried us 18 to today. So the notices that are of record are the 19 ones that initially noticed for the September 19th 20 hearing date, with all the continuations. 21 MR. ALAMPI: So good evening, Counsel. 22 Counsel, we will mark those in one second. 23 But, Chairman, if we could have 24 Ms. Hadjiyannis elevated, let's get her
2 WITNESS PAG 3 Brian Liebeskind 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 5 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hadjiyann 6 Nejm Jundi 7 Direct Examination by Mr. Harringto 8 9 PUBLIC SWORN 10 NAME PAGE 11 (No public sworn.) 12 13 EXHIBITS 14 NO. DESCRIPTION 15 A-1 Notice 5 16 A-2 Zoning board resolution for Case Z23-040, dated 09/07/2023 17 A-3 Aerial photo with markups 18 A-4 Colored site plan 16 19 A-5 Aerial map with depiction of sites worked by Mr. Jundi 21 22 23	PAGE  13  15	1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's move into new 2 business, then. Item 9 on the agenda is case 3 P20-140. It's a preliminary and final major site 4 plan for 152 Ogden Avenue. 5 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Is well, for 6 the record, Charles Harrington of Connell Foley on 7 behalf of the applicant. I see Mr. Vandermark and 8 Mr. Liebeskind up here I'm probably killing 9 Brian's last name again. 10 But yeah, so the application before you 11 tonight first, I'd ask that the notices be 12 reviewed and marked into the record. I do note for 13 the record that this application was previously 14 listed on the September 19th planning board agenda, 15 then was carried with preservation of notices to 16 October 10th, and then further to October 24th, and 17 then further to November 14th, and then it carried us 18 to today. So the notices that are of record are the 19 ones that initially noticed for the September 19th 20 hearing date, with all the continuations.  MR. ALAMPI: So good evening, Counsel. 21 Counsel, we will mark those in one second. 23 But, Chairman, if we could have

Page 5 Page 6 1 represents an interested party in the matter. 1 the administrative officer's decision with respect to 2 MS. HADJIYANNIS: Good evening, 2 whether or not a variance was needed from the cliff 3 3 commissioners, Cynthia Hadjiyannis here on behalf of face setback. That application -- or that appeal, I 4 4 Riverview Neighborhood Association. should say, was filed, and was heard before the 5 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Good evening, 5 Zoning Board. And the Zoning Board heard that 6 Counsel. 6 application, or that appeal, as it is required to do 7 7 MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, Counsel. under the statute, and the Zoning Board made a 8 8 Chairman, I've had the opportunity to determination, and that was memorialized in their 9 review the proof of publication, affidavit of 9 resolution, it's under their case number Z23-040. 10 10 service, as well as the notice. It was noticed for And under N.J.S.A. 40:55D-70A, 11 the September 19th hearing date, and it was carried 11 Ms. Hadjiyannis, on behalf of her client, the 12 through this evening with the preservation of that 12 Riverview Neighborhood Association, had filed an 13 13 notice. It does appear to be in order. We're going appeal of the zoning officer's determination to the 14 14 to mark it as A-1 for purposes of the record. Zoning Board. The Zoning Board upheld the 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit A-1 is marked for 15 determination of the zoning officer. 16 16 identification.) That is important to this body, 17 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank 17 obviously, because that determination is, therefore, you, Counsel. 18 18 binding on this body. We are not the appeal arm of 19 MR. ALAMPI: Chairman, I'd be remiss if 19 the Zoning Board. The Zoning Board is a 20 we didn't do a little housekeeping, so that everybody 20 quasi-judicial body, as is this body. The decision 21 understands what they need to understand with respect 21 of the Zoning Board is appealable to the Superior 22 to this particular application, because it is 22 Court. As I understand it, that appeal is pending in 23 somewhat nuanced. 23 the Superior Court; however, there is no stay of this 24 As the board is aware, and for everybody 24 proceeding. So the applicant has the right to 25 25 in the public, Ms. Hadjiyannis had filed an appeal of proceed before this board, in the nature in which the Page 7 Page 8 1 misstatement factually -- as I understand it, the 1 application is presented. determination of the Zoning Board is currently being 2 We are bound by the decision of the 2 3 Zoning Board, as far as their upholding of the zoning 3 appealed in the Superior Court, meaning 4 4 officer's determination, and this body will be guided Ms. Hadjiyannis, I believe, on behalf of Riverview, 5 5 appropriately. And we are not going to heal the has filed that prerogative writ in the Superior Court 6 appeal of the Zoning Board determination, that's not 6 in Hudson County, challenging the Zoning Board's 7 appropriate. So, Chairman, I would ask that we not 7 determination. 8 8 get into that issue. That issue has been properly If Ms. Hadjiyannis prevails, it is 9 9 adjudicated by the Zoning Board, and is now on appeal possible, depending on what the court decides, but it 10 to the Superior Court, where it is properly venued. 10 is possible that Ms. Hadjiyannis's win in the Superior Court would affect whatever happens this 11 11 So it is not for this body to go back; this body is 12 12 bound by that decision. evening. But there are some factors that may come 13 13 And with that, Chairman, Mr. Harrington into play where it doesn't affect what happens this 14 14 can introduce the application. And, Chairman, if evening. I can't really say, because that would be 15 15 Mr. Harrington or Ms. Hadjiyannis would like to place some kind of speculation. 16 any statement on the record regarding the instruction 16 What I can tell you, Chairman, is I have 17 I've just given, obviously, you can allow that to 17 not seen an order from the Superior Court staying 18 take place. 18 this proceeding. And if my memory serves me 19 19 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And, Santo, correctly, I believe this application was filed and 20 just so the board is crystal clear, if that decision 20 pending when the Zoning Board matter was heard. And

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

by the Zoning Board is appealed and is overturned,

any approval or denial that this board makes tonight

and either counsel can correct me if I make any

MR. ALAMPI: So as I understand it --

would be invalid. Correct?

Mr. Harrington had agreed to not move forward before

challenge to the zoning officer's determination. But

So that's where we are, Chairman.

this board, until the Zoning Board heard the

that was my recollection.

Page 9 Page 10 1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, 1 we're back here with an application that we believe 2 2 Counsel. is deemed, you know, as of right, because of the 3 3 So I'll start off with Mr. Harrington, decision of the Zoning Board. 4 4 if -- Counsel, if you'd like to put a statement on And I note that the Zoning Board also 5 the record. 5 made a conclusion in their resolution that there is 6 6 MR. HARRINGTON: Well, I -- yeah, just, no cliff face that is part of this property or 7 7 for the record, I agree and concur with Mr. Alampi's project. And I just note that because the appeal, 8 8 comments regarding the decision made by the Zoning for the benefit of the board, was all about the 9 Board, and how that is binding upon this board and 9 interpretation of PPOD regulations, PPOD being 10 10 tonight's hearing. It is noted -- it's my Palisade Preservation Overlay District. So that's 11 11 understanding that decision is under appeal to the what the issue was between the parties and before the 12 Superior Court, and if the appellant were to prevail, 12 Zoning Board, and that's what they made the 13 13 it may or may not affect this, I really don't know, determination on. 14 depending on what the decision would say. 14 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, 15 But Mr. Alampi is correct in that this 15 Counsel. 16 16 application was before the board -- listed on the And Ms. Hadjiyannis, I'll extend the 17 17 agenda back in January and February of 2023. It was same courtesy to you, if you want to put a statement 18 moved off the agenda, and that's when the 18 on record before we start. 19 19 MS. HADJIYANNIS: Thank you, Chairman. interpretation request occurred. And then, it was 20 basically held in abeyance until that was put before 20 I just want to clarify that I am not 21 21 the Zoning Board. And that hearing was in July of handling the Superior Court action, and the 22 2023, and the resolution was adopted, I believe, in 22 plaintiffs in that action are people who live in the 23 early September of 2023. So that's -- that's why we 23 neighborhood, but not Neighborhood Riverview 24 had the delay between the initial listing of it and 24 Association (sic), so I just wanted to clarify that 25 25 now, before the board, and that's why, you know, for the record. Page 11 Page 12 1 And I just want today state that our 1 Okay, Mr. Harrington. The floor is 2 position is that, although the Zoning Board's 2 yours, sir. 3 resolution -- that, I believe, was uploaded to the 3 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Thank you. 4 4 online portal, and it is, I guess, precedential, in a So to proceed, the application before 5 way, they interpreted the application of the PPOD on 5 you is a project that is in the R-3 Zone. It's an 6 this particular site -- I would submit to the board 6 oversized project, as you'll see during the 7 that the findings contained in that resolution cannot 7 presentation. The proposal is for an eight-story 8 8 be imported into this proceeding, because that was a building with 14 residential units and 14 on-site 9 different hearing with different witnesses, and the 9 parking spaces. 10 As noted, it's as-of-right, so I really board's findings were based on the presentation that 10 11 was made that day. So I want to draw a distinction 11 don't have anything beyond what was just set forth on 12 between the conclusion that the board reached about 12 the record. And I think I'll -- I'd like to jump in 1.3 how to interpret the ordinance, and the findings that 13 with -- I have two witnesses proposed tonight, my 14 14 were made in the resolution. civil engineer and my architect. I have other 15 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, Counsel. 15 professionals on standby, if the board would like to 16 And, obviously, the Zoning Board's decision, you 16 hear from them, but my intention is to have the civil 17 know, only upheld the zoning officer's determination. 17 engineer come, Brian will take you through the site, 18 18 So, you know, it's my understanding that this board and -- because it is a little bit of a unique site, 19 19 is bound by that determination; that is, the and then I'll follow up with Mr. Vandermark to take 20 determination that was appealed, it was upheld. So, 20 you through the project. 21 21 you know, any further action doesn't affect our CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you, 22 22 hearing tonight. Counsel. 23 MS. HADJIYANNIS: Thank you. 23 And, obviously, Ms. Hadjiyannis, we'll

24

25

24

25

Appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Thank you, Counsel.

MR. ALAMPI: So, Chairman, I think that,

allow to cross examine all the witnesses.

	Page 13		Page 14
1	although it was uploaded to the portal, we're going	1	A. Okay. So, to start, I'll pull up an
2	to mark the Zoning Board resolution as A-2 for	2	aerial of the existing site.
3	purposes of the record. It is a 10-page resolution,	3	Q. And, Brian, before you talk speak to
4	and it is as of and memorialized on September 7th,	4	this, do we need to mark this as A-3, or any other
5	2023, case Z23-040.	5	exhibits or sheets that you're going to look at?
6	(Whereupon, Exhibit A-2 is marked for	6	A. This is was not part of the
7	identification.)	7	submission package. So
8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,	8	Q. Okay. So let's mark this as A-3. And
9	Counsel.	9	if you could, then, describe it for the record.
10	BRIAN LIEBESKIND, having	10	A. So this is looking southwest, down
11	been duly sworn, testified as follows:	11	Ogden Avenue, to give everyone some context. As
12	MR. LIEBESKIND: Brian Liebeskind,	12	Mr. Harrington noted, this is an irregularly shaped
13	L-I-E-B-E-S-K-I-N-D.	13	lot that extends all the way back to Mountain Road.
14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Liebeskind, good	14	In total, it's about 0.9 acres, of which the
15	evening. Always a pleasure. We've qualified you in	15	project site is 0.2 acres, the piece closest to
16	the past. Your license is current as an engineer in	16	Ogden Avenue.
17	the state of New Jersey tonight?	17	MR. ALAMPI: So this is an aerial
18	MR. LIEBESKIND: It is.	18	photograph?
19	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,	19	MR. LIEBESKIND: Correct.
20	sir. You're qualified.	20	MR. ALAMPI: Was it taken by yourself
21	MR. LIEBESKIND: Thank you.	21	via a drone? Was it taken off of a Google image?
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION	22	MR. LIEBESKIND: This is a drone aerial
23	BY MR. HARRINGTON:	23	image.
24	Q. Okay, Brian. If you could, walk us	24	MR. ALAMPI: And you're familiar with
25	through the site.	25	the site, sir?
25	through the site.	23	the site, sit?
	Page 15		Page 16
1	MR. LIEBESKIND: I am.	1	The red outline on this aerial
2	MR. ALAMPI: And this is an accurate	2	represents the building footprint, and the yellow
3	representation of the existing condition of the site?	3	is the full property. As you can see, the existing
4	MR. LIEBESKIND: It is.	4	lot is a surface parking lot, with the full lot to
5	MR. ALAMPI: Was this drone aerial taken	5	be used for the proposed building and driveway
6	in the last six months?	6	access.
7	MR. LIEBESKIND: Within the past year.	7	And to flip to this is a colored
8	This was part of the application to the Zoning Board.	8	site plan, which was
9	But it still reflects existing conditions.	9	MR. ALAMPI: We're marking this as A-4?
10	MR. ALAMPI: And this is from the	10	MR. LIEBESKIND: Yes.
11	vantage point looking what direction, sir?	11	(Whereupon, Exhibit A-4 is marked for
12	MR. LIEBESKIND: This is southwest is	12	identification.)
13	the top of the page.	13	A. So, again, the project is an
14	MR. ALAMPI: Very good.	14	eight-story, 14-unit building, with 14 parking
15	A-3, for purposes of the record.	15	spaces, the driveway located to the south of the
16	(Whereupon, Exhibit A-3 is marked for	16	building, with a 10-foot-wide curb cut off of Ogden
17	identification.)	17	Avenue. The building is set back 5 feet from the
18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank	18	property line along Ogden Avenue, per the bulk
19	you, Counsel.	19	requirements of the R-3 Zone; also compliant with
20	A. So, again, just to get bearings, the	20	side yard setbacks, building height requirements,
21	Riverside Medical is across the street, and two	21	parking standards. The driveway into the site is
22	blocks down is the Supremo grocery store. As	22	at 22 feet wide, with perpendicular parking into
	noted, this lot is zoned for R-3, Multi-Family	23	the first floor of the building, with a mechanical
22	THE PART OF THE PA	43	the first moor of the building, with a mechanical
23		21	existen in the celler to provide a total of 14
24	Mid-Rise Housing District, and also in the	24	system in the cellar, to provide a total of 14
		24 25	system in the cellar, to provide a total of 14 spaces. The area as shown in green is a planted

Page 17

area to the east of the site, which transitions down to the existing slope going down the Palisades.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In terms of site improvements, the project proposes new concrete sidewalk along the street frontage, new concrete curb along the street, and a mill and overlay of Ogden Avenue. Also proposing a pedestrian warning sign at the re-striped crosswalk in the street. As -- again, as noted, this is a fully compliant project in the R-3 Zone, and also compliant with the requirements of the PPOD.

I'll just spend a couple minutes briefly going through the basis for establishing those site constraints. So this is the steep slope plan, C-811 in the civil package. This is showing a plan view on the bottom of the site, and then a one-to-one cross-section looking at the side view. The color coding on this, the green represents the gentle slopes, less than 10 percent; yellow being a mild slope between 10 percent and 30 percent; the steep slopes, as defined in the ordinance, are those greater than 30 percent. So for the PPOD constraints, there

were -- for the two provisions that we were

Page 18

to the -- to the cliff, which is the top of -- the

evaluating, the first is a minimum building setback

3 cliff face at the top of cliff, it was our

4 determination, and confirmed by the zoning officer,

that there is no cliff present on the site, cliff

6 being defined as a near-vertical slope of exposed

7 bedrock, which is not present on the site. So that

8 30-foot minimum setback is not applicable for this 9

project.

1

2

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

25

The other constraint, which we did abide by, is a 10-foot setback to the steep slopes. So as you can see, the steep slopes, shaded in orange, are savings and loan with a 10-foot offset, which is this cross hatch here. At the closest point of the building overhang, it's 11.2 feet from that steep slope. The building has a cantilevered upper floors, such that the first floor of the building, as shown in gray here, is the actual building footprint at grade. That is -- that's about 20 feet from that steep slope. So, again, I don't need to go more into it, but this is the -at the heard of the presentation to the Zoning Board, was evaluating the presence of the cliff. Going back to the grading plan, as part of the civil set, as described, the actual

Page 19

project site is relatively flat. The proposed building, at elevation 108, and then sloping about 1 to 2 percent in all directions, and tying into existing grades along the slope at around elevation 105.

The stormwater for the project is compliant with the Jersey City regulations for minor development. The storm and sanitary will discharge to a manhole with Ogden Avenue, and a new 18-inch pipe that will run south to the adjacent manhole. The other utilities, water, electric, cable, and gas, will be fed off of existing utilities within the street.

The lighting and landscaping for the project, also compliant with the city requirements, we've received a review letter from city engineering, and we'll work with their office to satisfy their comments.

If there are no other questions, that concludes my testimony.

CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank you, Mr. Liebeskind.

I do have a question, just regarding the rear of the site. So, obviously, there's going to be piles driven; there's going to be excavation of the

Page 20

1 building envelope. Is there any kind of retaining 2 wall that goes behind the building?

> MR. LIEBESKIND: So the way it's -- I'll flip back to the grading plan. As of right now, there's a curb at the edge of this paver; it's a driveway that wraps around the east side of the building, as a patio area. And then, from that curb, which is -- would slope down at no more than a 3-to-1 slope down to existing grades.

So to answer your question, there's not currently a retaining wall proposed. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood.

Thank you, sir.

All right. Anybody else, any questions for Mr. Liebeskind?

MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Liebeskind, can you tell me, I'm looking at the drawing -- what's the distance from what you were just describing, that paver patio, to the rear of property line?

MR. LIEBESKIND: Between the driveway and the property to the south is 10 feet.

21 22 MR. ALAMPI: To the rear. MR. LIEBESKIND: To the rear? 24 MR. ALAMPI: That steep slope, that 79, and then that 105 is to the building, but not the

1	Page 21		Page 22
	cantilever, 92'6 is to the cantilever?	1	Q. Okay. So you're not a geotechnical
2	MR. LIEBESKIND: Correct.	2	engineer?
3	MR. ALAMPI: Thank you, sir.	3	A. I do not practice as a geotechnical
4	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Anybody	4	engineer.
5	else, any questions for Mr. Liebeskind?	5	Q. And what's the difference between a
6	Okay. Ms. Hadjiyannis, would you like	6	civil engineer and a geotechnical engineer?
7	to cross-examine?	7	A. My experience, and for which I feel
8	MS. HADJIYANNIS: I would, thank you.	8	comfortable designing to is for site aspects of the
9	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure.	9	project; so the exterior of the building. A
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION	10	geotech engineer would evaluate subsurface
11	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:	11	conditions, both outside the building, but
12	Q. Good evening, Mr. Liebeskind. How are	12	primarily, in Jersey City, it's a part of the
13	you doing tonight?	13	foundation design that goes with the architecture.
14	A. Good.	14	Q. And was there a geotechnical engineer
15	Q. So I first wanted to ask you: What	15	on this project?
16	kind of engineer are you?	16	A. There was.
17	A. I'm a civil engineer.	17	Q. And who was that?
18	Q. Okay.	18	A. I we have them here we have a
19	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Actually, can we take	19	structural engineer and a geotechnical engineer for
20	the plan down, so I can see Mr. Liebeskind in a	20	the project who can speak to the design of the
21	you know, his in a larger screen? Thanks.	21	building, if Mr. Harrington feels that's
22	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:	22	appropriate.
23	Q. Okay. You said you're a civil	23	MR. HARRINGTON: That's right, we have
24	engineer?	24	them available.
25	A. Correct.	25	MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'm just I'm
20	The Contects		Wo. 12 Doll M. Wo. Okay. Till just Till
	Page 23		Page 24
1	looking at the I guess I can't tell who it is on	1	application, such as the steep slope plan and a
2	the screen right now. Okay.		application, such as the steep stope plan and a
	the screen right now. Okay.	2	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.
3	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:	2	
3 4			site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.
	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:	3	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's
4	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your	3 4	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?
4 5	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is	3 4 5	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.
4 5 6	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?	3 4 5 6	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a
4 5 6 7	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct.	3 4 5 6 7	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions
4 5 6 7 8	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical	3 4 5 6 7 8	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.
4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you
4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers?  A. They are.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you had submitted a topographic map. Is that correct?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers?  A. They are.  Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you had submitted a topographic map. Is that correct?  A. There was a survey submitted as part
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct. Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers?  A. They are. Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that you were responsible for compliance with the PPOD	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you had submitted a topographic map. Is that correct?  A. There was a survey submitted as part of the application.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers?  A. They are.  Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that you were responsible for compliance with the PPOD regulations that apply to the Palisades Protection	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you had submitted a topographic map. Is that correct?  A. There was a survey submitted as part of the application.  Q. Okay. And a land form analysis, which
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct. Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers?  A. They are. Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that you were responsible for compliance with the PPOD regulations that apply to the Palisades Protection Overlay District. Is that is that correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you had submitted a topographic map. Is that correct?  A. There was a survey submitted as part of the application.  Q. Okay. And a land form analysis, which you just showed to us.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct. Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers? A. They are. Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that you were responsible for compliance with the PPOD regulations that apply to the Palisades Protection Overlay District. Is that is that correct?  A. Correct.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you had submitted a topographic map. Is that correct?  A. There was a survey submitted as part of the application.  Q. Okay. And a land form analysis, which you just showed to us.  A. Correct.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct. Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers?  A. They are. Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that you were responsible for compliance with the PPOD regulations that apply to the Palisades Protection Overlay District. Is that is that correct?  A. Correct. Q. And did you review the procedural	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you had submitted a topographic map. Is that correct?  A. There was a survey submitted as part of the application.  Q. Okay. And a land form analysis, which you just showed to us.  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. Now, the the procedural
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers?  A. They are.  Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that you were responsible for compliance with the PPOD regulations that apply to the Palisades Protection Overlay District. Is that is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And did you review the procedural regulations that are part of that that ordinance?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you had submitted a topographic map. Is that correct?  A. There was a survey submitted as part of the application.  Q. Okay. And a land form analysis, which you just showed to us.  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. Now, the the procedural regulations also say that a physical description of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers?  A. They are.  Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that you were responsible for compliance with the PPOD regulations that apply to the Palisades Protection Overlay District. Is that is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And did you review the procedural regulations that are part of that that ordinance?  A. I did.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you had submitted a topographic map. Is that correct?  A. There was a survey submitted as part of the application.  Q. Okay. And a land form analysis, which you just showed to us.  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. Now, the the procedural regulations also say that a physical description of the site, with a technical summary of site
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct. Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers?  A. They are. Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that you were responsible for compliance with the PPOD regulations that apply to the Palisades Protection Overlay District. Is that is that correct?  A. Correct. Q. And did you review the procedural regulations that are part of that that ordinance?  A. I did. Q. Okay. And just I guess and it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you had submitted a topographic map. Is that correct?  A. There was a survey submitted as part of the application.  Q. Okay. And a land form analysis, which you just showed to us.  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. Now, the the procedural regulations also say that a physical description of the site, with a technical summary of site characteristics such as soils, load-bearing
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct. Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers?  A. They are. Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that you were responsible for compliance with the PPOD regulations that apply to the Palisades Protection Overlay District. Is that is that correct?  A. Correct. Q. And did you review the procedural regulations that are part of that that ordinance?  A. I did. Q. Okay. And just I guess and it required and my reading of it and you tell me	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you had submitted a topographic map. Is that correct?  A. There was a survey submitted as part of the application.  Q. Okay. And a land form analysis, which you just showed to us.  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. Now, the the procedural regulations also say that a physical description of the site, with a technical summary of site characteristics such as soils, load-bearing capacity, erosion potential, and depth to bedrock,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct. Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers?  A. They are. Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that you were responsible for compliance with the PPOD regulations that apply to the Palisades Protection Overlay District. Is that is that correct?  A. Correct. Q. And did you review the procedural regulations that are part of that that ordinance?  A. I did. Q. Okay. And just I guess and it required and my reading of it and you tell me if you agree with me is that those procedural	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you had submitted a topographic map. Is that correct?  A. There was a survey submitted as part of the application.  Q. Okay. And a land form analysis, which you just showed to us.  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. Now, the the procedural regulations also say that a physical description of the site, with a technical summary of site characteristics such as soils, load-bearing capacity, erosion potential, and depth to bedrock, are have to also be included in the application.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers?  A. They are.  Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that you were responsible for compliance with the PPOD regulations that apply to the Palisades Protection Overlay District. Is that is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And did you review the procedural regulations that are part of that that ordinance?  A. I did.  Q. Okay. And just I guess and it required and my reading of it and you tell me if you agree with me is that those procedural regulations in the PPOD require certain information	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you had submitted a topographic map. Is that correct?  A. There was a survey submitted as part of the application.  Q. Okay. And a land form analysis, which you just showed to us.  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. Now, the the procedural regulations also say that a physical description of the site, with a technical summary of site characteristics such as soils, load-bearing capacity, erosion potential, and depth to bedrock, are have to also be included in the application.  Was that part of your application?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:  Q. So but you had appended to your engineer's report a report from Johnson Soils. Is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And aren't aren't they geotechnical engineers?  A. They are.  Q. Okay. Now, you had testified that you were responsible for compliance with the PPOD regulations that apply to the Palisades Protection Overlay District. Is that is that correct?  A. Correct.  Q. And did you review the procedural regulations that are part of that that ordinance?  A. I did.  Q. Okay. And just I guess and it required and my reading of it and you tell me if you agree with me is that those procedural regulations in the PPOD require certain information to be submitted, in addition to what's listed on the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	site analysis, that wouldn't normally be required.  Q. And did you submit everything that's required under the PPOD's procedural regulations?  A. We did.  Q. Okay. So I just wanted to go through a couple of the things, and just ask you questions about those.  So did you I mean, I I saw you had submitted a topographic map. Is that correct?  A. There was a survey submitted as part of the application.  Q. Okay. And a land form analysis, which you just showed to us.  A. Correct.  Q. Okay. Now, the the procedural regulations also say that a physical description of the site, with a technical summary of site characteristics such as soils, load-bearing capacity, erosion potential, and depth to bedrock, are have to also be included in the application.  Was that part of your application?  A. The application was amended early this

Page 25 Page 26 1 a written land form analysis that walks through 1 somewhere in your application? 2 2 each of the components of the PPOD regulations. There's a general assessment of site 3 3 Q. Okay. So this technical summary, what soils. There is not a full geotech assessment that 4 4 does it say about load-bearing capacity? is included as part of the planned work 5 Are you asking me just to read those 5 application. 6 6 Q. Okay. Do you know how heavy the 7 7 building will be? Q. That's part of the technical summary 8 8 A. that was supposed to be submitted, and I just want We have a geotechnical engineer here 9 9 to ask you about each of those items that are part that can speak to the technical design. 10 10 of the technical summary. But can you direct us to anywhere in 11 11 So what -- what -- how did you -- what the application where there's information about how 12 information did your application contain about 12 heavy the load is, so that load-bearing capacity 13 13 load-bearing capacity? could be determined? 14 14 It included the geotechnical findings A. Again, the soil characteristics, which 15 from Johnson Soils in an appendix to the report. 15 is really what the PPOD speaks to; those were 16 16 Is there a calculation somewhere in the included as part of the application. The full 17 appendix that showed us load-bearing capacity? 17 structural design of the building, you know, is not 18 A description of the soil, in 18 customarily done as part of the initial filing. 19 compliance with the PPOD regulations, was submitted 19 But that was one of the procedural 20 to and deemed complete by city planning. 20 regulations. So I guess -- I'm not going to keep 21 21 But I'm asking -- that's not the asking you the same question over and over again. 22 question I asked you. I asked you: Was there a 22 Okay. So you're saying there was some 23 calculation in your submission that you said 23 general description of the soils. What -- what was 24 complied with the PPOD regulations? I'm asking you: 24 that general description? 25 25 Is there a calculation of load-bearing capacity A classification of the soils, boring Page 27 Page 28 1 1 logs showing the strata of soil types, and the to answer them. So, I mean, you can pull out the 2 depth to bedrock, and a, you know, conclusion based 2 report, if that helps you answer the question. 3 on the likely foundation design for a building. 3 MR. HARRINGTON: I just object, for the 4 4 Okay. So what is the depth to bedrock? Q. record. He is answering the questions. He's just 5 5 I would have to pull out the -- those not -- you know, Cynthia's just not getting answers A. 6 6 that she likes. reports. 7 Okay. Wasn't -- wasn't that one of 7 BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: Q. 8 8 Okay. So what is the depth to bedrock? the -- part of the technical summary that was Q. 9 supposed to be submitted as part of this 9 That was my question. 10 application? 10 A. I can -- if you bear with me one --11 11 A. Yeah, as you'll recall, we started I mean, I don't recall that -- I mean, 12 this process over a year ago. So I would have to 12 we can have the court reporter read the transcript 13 13 pull out those findings. back, but I don't recall receiving an answer to that 14 14 Q. Okay. What -- what kind of subsurface question. 15 15 MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, you did testing was done? 16 Again, that was all outlined in the 16 get an answer to the question, but you did not get a 17 geotech report. I can pull that out and go through 17 measurement. So if the witness has --18 those findings, if you'd like. 18 MS. HADJIYANNIS: I didn't get a 19 I mean, I think you're going to have 19 substantive answer. Saying what is the depth to 20 to, because there's a part -- this is part of the 20 bedrock, and the answer is I can pull out the report, 21 21 unique procedural regulations that are part of the doesn't answer the question. It doesn't begin to 22 PPOD ordinance, and you didn't testify to any of 22 answer the question. 23 them, and I'm not -- you testified the application 23 MR. HARRINGTON: Well --24 is compliant, but I'm asking you specific questions 24 MR. ALAMPI: So he is looking at the 25 about the compliance, and you don't seem to be able 25 report and is going to answer the question,

	Page 29		Page 30
1	Ms. Hadjiyannis. We are well outside of direct, but	1	Q. Okay. What one of the one of the
2	I am giving you a ton of leeway in that regard. So	2	technical part of the technical summary was also
3	if this witness is not the appropriate witness to	3	erosion potential. Did you use any particular
4	answer the question, he can't answer the question.	4	methodology to determine erosion potential?
5	But I believe his testimony was clear, that he has to	5	A. We have a soil erosion and sediment
6	look it up. He does not have it at his disposal, but	6	control plan as part of our civil set. You know,
7	it appears that he is looking for it. So he will get	7	we are again, we're at the top of the slope,
8	us that answer, if it was actually submitted with the	8	and, you know, we're it's relatively flat. So
9	geotech report.	9	other than where we are are tying in to the
10	A. Thank you. So in that time, I have	10	east, it doesn't dictate any special erosion
11	the boring logs that were prepared by Johnson	11	control measures.
12	Soils, and they show the depth to rock starting at	12	Q. On the civil plans, sheet C-802, there
13	about 8 feet below grade, and then varies to as	13	was a note that said "Steep slopes incurring
14	much as 13 and a half feet.	14	disturbance may require additional stabilization
15	Q. Okay. Didn't didn't the report say	15	measures." What additional stabilization measures
16	that you have to do rock core drilling to tell where	16	do you believe may be necessary?
17	the bedrock is?	17	A. I'm sorry, which plan are you
18	A. Those boring logs indicate the depth	18	referring to?
19	to bedrock.	19	Q. This is sheet C-802 of the site plans
20	Q. I do the boring logs doesn't the	20	that you prepared. And note 14 says "Steep slopes
21	report also say that you need to do rock core	21	incurring disturbance may require additional
22	drilling to determine where bedrock is?	22	stabilization measures." So I'm just I'm
23	A. We determined where bedrock is. There	23	wondering
24	were multiple locations performed. There were five	24	A. Yeah, that's not a project-specific
25	borings done in the site area.	25	note. That note comes from the soil conservation
	Page 31		Page 32
1	district.	1	So is site grading and development data
2	Q. Okay. Do you know how deep the	2	included as part of the plans?
3	basement is going to be for the building?		A. It is.
J	basement is going to be for the building?	3	A. 11 15.
4		4	
	A. The architect can speak to that.		Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?
4	A. The architect can speak to that.	4	Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?
4 5	<ul><li>A. The architect can speak to that.</li><li>Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation</li></ul>	4 5	Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?
4 5 6	<ul><li>A. The architect can speak to that.</li><li>Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?</li></ul>	4 5 6	Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?  A. That's provided.
4 5 6 7	<ul><li>A. The architect can speak to that.</li><li>Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?</li><li>A. A portion of the cellar will be</li></ul>	4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?</li><li>A. That's provided.</li><li>Q. How about procedures for excavation?</li></ul>
4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. The architect can speak to that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?</li> <li>A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?</li> <li>A. That's provided.</li> <li>Q. How about procedures for excavation?</li> <li>A. The grading for the site is part of</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. The architect can speak to that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?</li> <li>A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is there any detail in your plan about</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?</li> <li>A. That's provided.</li> <li>Q. How about procedures for excavation?</li> <li>A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. The architect can speak to that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?</li> <li>A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is there any detail in your plan about cut and fill operations?</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?</li> <li>A. That's provided.</li> <li>Q. How about procedures for excavation?</li> <li>A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the building itself is part of the architectural</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. The architect can speak to that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?</li> <li>A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is there any detail in your plan about cut and fill operations?</li> <li>A. The civil plans don't have a cut fill</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?</li> <li>A. That's provided.</li> <li>Q. How about procedures for excavation?</li> <li>A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the building itself is part of the architectural package.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. The architect can speak to that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?</li> <li>A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is there any detail in your plan about cut and fill operations?</li> <li>A. The civil plans don't have a cut fill analysis.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?</li> <li>A. That's provided.</li> <li>Q. How about procedures for excavation?</li> <li>A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the building itself is part of the architectural package.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How about construction access</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. The architect can speak to that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?</li> <li>A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.</li> <li>Q. Is there any detail in your plan about cut and fill operations?</li> <li>A. The civil plans don't have a cut fill analysis.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Was that one of the requirements</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?</li> <li>A. That's provided.</li> <li>Q. How about procedures for excavation?</li> <li>A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the building itself is part of the architectural package.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How about construction access and stockpiling?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. The architect can speak to that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?  A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.  Q. Is there any detail in your plan about cut and fill operations?  A. The civil plans don't have a cut fill analysis.  Q. Okay. Was that one of the requirements under the PPOD procedural regulations? Do you	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?</li> <li>A. That's provided.</li> <li>Q. How about procedures for excavation?</li> <li>A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the building itself is part of the architectural package.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How about construction access and stockpiling?</li> <li>A. That was provided as part of the soil</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. The architect can speak to that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?  A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.  Q. Is there any detail in your plan about cut and fill operations?  A. The civil plans don't have a cut fill analysis.  Q. Okay. Was that one of the requirements under the PPOD procedural regulations? Do you recall?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?</li> <li>A. That's provided.</li> <li>Q. How about procedures for excavation?</li> <li>A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the building itself is part of the architectural package.</li> <li>Q. Okay. How about construction access and stockpiling?</li> <li>A. That was provided as part of the soil erosion and sediment control plan.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. The architect can speak to that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?  A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.  Q. Is there any detail in your plan about cut and fill operations?  A. The civil plans don't have a cut fill analysis.  Q. Okay. Was that one of the requirements under the PPOD procedural regulations? Do you recall?  A. It was not.  Q. So I just want to refresh your memory, it says the PPOD regulation, the procedural	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?  A. That's provided. Q. How about procedures for excavation? A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the building itself is part of the architectural package. Q. Okay. How about construction access and stockpiling? A. That was provided as part of the soil erosion and sediment control plan. Q. And extent and phasing of construction? A. That's shown on the plan, and there's a sequence of construction on sheet 802.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. The architect can speak to that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?  A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.  Q. Is there any detail in your plan about cut and fill operations?  A. The civil plans don't have a cut fill analysis.  Q. Okay. Was that one of the requirements under the PPOD procedural regulations? Do you recall?  A. It was not.  Q. So I just want to refresh your memory, it says the PPOD regulation, the procedural regulations, say site grading and development data	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?  A. That's provided. Q. How about procedures for excavation? A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the building itself is part of the architectural package. Q. Okay. How about construction access and stockpiling? A. That was provided as part of the soil erosion and sediment control plan. Q. And extent and phasing of construction? A. That's shown on the plan, and there's a sequence of construction on sheet 802. Q. And then, the last item, cut and fill
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. The architect can speak to that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?  A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.  Q. Is there any detail in your plan about cut and fill operations?  A. The civil plans don't have a cut fill analysis.  Q. Okay. Was that one of the requirements under the PPOD procedural regulations? Do you recall?  A. It was not.  Q. So I just want to refresh your memory, it says the PPOD regulation, the procedural regulations, say site grading and development data which shall include the type and location of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?  A. That's provided. Q. How about procedures for excavation? A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the building itself is part of the architectural package. Q. Okay. How about construction access and stockpiling? A. That was provided as part of the soil erosion and sediment control plan. Q. And extent and phasing of construction? A. That's shown on the plan, and there's a sequence of construction on sheet 802. Q. And then, the last item, cut and fill operations, you already had responded that that's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. The architect can speak to that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?  A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.  Q. Is there any detail in your plan about cut and fill operations?  A. The civil plans don't have a cut fill analysis.  Q. Okay. Was that one of the requirements under the PPOD procedural regulations? Do you recall?  A. It was not.  Q. So I just want to refresh your memory, it says the PPOD regulation, the procedural regulations, say site grading and development data which shall include the type and location of development activity. Procedures for grading,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?  A. That's provided. Q. How about procedures for excavation? A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the building itself is part of the architectural package. Q. Okay. How about construction access and stockpiling? A. That was provided as part of the soil erosion and sediment control plan. Q. And extent and phasing of construction? A. That's shown on the plan, and there's a sequence of construction on sheet 802. Q. And then, the last item, cut and fill operations, you already had responded that that's not part of the plans.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. The architect can speak to that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?  A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.  Q. Is there any detail in your plan about cut and fill operations?  A. The civil plans don't have a cut fill analysis.  Q. Okay. Was that one of the requirements under the PPOD procedural regulations? Do you recall?  A. It was not.  Q. So I just want to refresh your memory, it says the PPOD regulation, the procedural regulations, say site grading and development data which shall include the type and location of development activity. Procedures for grading, excavation, construction access, and stockpiling,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?  A. That's provided. Q. How about procedures for excavation? A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the building itself is part of the architectural package. Q. Okay. How about construction access and stockpiling? A. That was provided as part of the soil erosion and sediment control plan. Q. And extent and phasing of construction? A. That's shown on the plan, and there's a sequence of construction on sheet 802. Q. And then, the last item, cut and fill operations, you already had responded that that's not part of the plans.  Okay. So would you explain what a land
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. The architect can speak to that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?  A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.  Q. Is there any detail in your plan about cut and fill operations?  A. The civil plans don't have a cut fill analysis.  Q. Okay. Was that one of the requirements under the PPOD procedural regulations? Do you recall?  A. It was not.  Q. So I just want to refresh your memory, it says the PPOD regulation, the procedural regulations, say site grading and development data which shall include the type and location of development activity. Procedures for grading, excavation, construction access, and stockpiling, extent and phasing of construction, and cut and fill	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?  A. That's provided. Q. How about procedures for excavation? A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the building itself is part of the architectural package. Q. Okay. How about construction access and stockpiling? A. That was provided as part of the soil erosion and sediment control plan. Q. And extent and phasing of construction? A. That's shown on the plan, and there's a sequence of construction on sheet 802. Q. And then, the last item, cut and fill operations, you already had responded that that's not part of the plans.  Okay. So would you explain what a land form analysis is?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. The architect can speak to that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?  A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.  Q. Is there any detail in your plan about cut and fill operations?  A. The civil plans don't have a cut fill analysis.  Q. Okay. Was that one of the requirements under the PPOD procedural regulations? Do you recall?  A. It was not.  Q. So I just want to refresh your memory, it says the PPOD regulation, the procedural regulations, say site grading and development data which shall include the type and location of development activity. Procedures for grading, excavation, construction access, and stockpiling, extent and phasing of construction, and cut and fill operations, have to be submitted as part of the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?  A. That's provided. Q. How about procedures for excavation? A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the building itself is part of the architectural package. Q. Okay. How about construction access and stockpiling? A. That was provided as part of the soil erosion and sediment control plan. Q. And extent and phasing of construction? A. That's shown on the plan, and there's a sequence of construction on sheet 802. Q. And then, the last item, cut and fill operations, you already had responded that that's not part of the plans.  Okay. So would you explain what a land form analysis is? A. Where it is?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. The architect can speak to that.  Q. Okay. Do you know whether excavation is going to be required?  A. A portion of the cellar will be excavated, yes.  Q. Is there any detail in your plan about cut and fill operations?  A. The civil plans don't have a cut fill analysis.  Q. Okay. Was that one of the requirements under the PPOD procedural regulations? Do you recall?  A. It was not.  Q. So I just want to refresh your memory, it says the PPOD regulation, the procedural regulations, say site grading and development data which shall include the type and location of development activity. Procedures for grading, excavation, construction access, and stockpiling, extent and phasing of construction, and cut and fill	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. How about procedures for grading?  A. That's provided. Q. How about procedures for excavation? A. The grading for the site is part of the civil plans, and the excavation for the building itself is part of the architectural package. Q. Okay. How about construction access and stockpiling? A. That was provided as part of the soil erosion and sediment control plan. Q. And extent and phasing of construction? A. That's shown on the plan, and there's a sequence of construction on sheet 802. Q. And then, the last item, cut and fill operations, you already had responded that that's not part of the plans.  Okay. So would you explain what a land form analysis is?

Page 33 Page 34 1 analysis? 1 to have to object to the --2 2 MR. ALAMPI: I understand. You've been A. So as part of the engineering report, 3 3 we walk through the general characteristics of the overruled, so move on to the next question, please, 4 4 site, the topography, and the four provisions of Counsel. 5 the PPOD, two of which pertain to projects located 5 MS. HADJIYANNIS: Is the surveyor here 6 on the high side of the Palisades, and the others 6 this evening to testify? 7 7 pertain to projects on the low side. MR. HARRINGTON: No, he's not. 8 8 So we walked through the steep slope MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. 9 analysis as part of the civil plans, and the 9 BY MS. HADJIYANNIS: 10 10 setback requirements that I went through earlier. Did you -- when you were preparing the 11 Is the -- is the top of cliff shown on 11 plans, did you have different iterations of the land 12 12 form analysis? your land form analysis? 13 There is no top of cliff, as we 13 A. A. The plans were revised early this year 14 determined. 14 to reflect an updated survey. The slope to the 15 O. Is it shown on the topographical survey 15 east of our proposed building was inaccessible 16 that was submitted? 16 during -- for most of the year. Only in the dead 17 MR. HARRINGTON: I object. He just 17 of winter was a surveyor able to get -- gain access 18 answered there is no top of cliff. 18 and take additional elevations. The updated survey 19 19 MS. HADJIYANNIS: Okay. I'm asking -was resubmitted, along with revised civil plans, to 20 MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the 20 show that. 21 21 objection. Counsel, move on the to the next Q. Okay. So the land form analysis 22 question. This has been dealt with at the Zoning 22 changed over time. Is that --23 Board; it's been determined already, and this board 23 The written land form analysis, it's 24 24 in the engineer's report, was not previously is bound by that --25 MS. HADJIYANNIS: There's a -- I'm going 25 included. So it was provided as part of the Page 35 Page 36 resubmission in February; that's the only version 1 1 Q. So did you move the driveway 30 feet 2 2 that's been submitted. away from the crosswalk? 3 Q. Okay. Did city engineering review your 3 Yeah, the condition that she's 4 4 plans? referring to is not actually in conflict. So as 5 5 A. part of the -- a resolution compliance set, we will They did. 6 Q. And did you comply with all of their 6 show the restriping of the crosswalk coming from 7 comments? 7 the Wood Place intersection. 8 8 We did. And those that require A. Q. Okay. So are you saying you're moving 9 further discussion, we will work with their office 9 the crosswalk, and you're not moving the driveway --10 to address. 10 Yes, the existing crosswalk that both Okay. Didn't one of the comments say crosses Wood Place and crossing Ogden Avenue would 11 Q. 11 12 12 that the proposed driveway is located on the curb be adjusted slightly, with new curb ramps 13 13 ramp facing a pedestrian crosswalk; the driveway installed, to not be in conflict with the new 14 14 must be relocated a minimum of 30 feet away from the driveway. And as mentioned, we would also provide 15 pedestrian crosswalk? Wasn't that one of the 15 a pedestrian warning sign at that crosswalk. 16 comments made by city engineering? 16 Okay. So how far away from the 17 A. Yes, so the location of the crosswalk 17 driveway is the crosswalk going to be? 18 on the survey is slightly off from true conditions. 18 That's something that we still need to 19 So the -- the comment from Lichuan was that, 19 coordinate with city engineering, on the exact 20 currently, the crosswalk would terminate at the 20 location. Just the fact that it's, you know, 21 driveway to our site, where, in present day, it's 21 one-way travel southbound, and located just below 22 22 between the various driveway openings to the our driveway, we feel is -- you know, it's still a 23 parking lot and the adjacent building. So -- and 23 safe condition, and an improvement from the 24 the crosswalk would likely be shifted south, with a 24 existing parking lot that operates today. 25 curb ramp provided in the sidewalk. 25 Q. Is there a stormwater management plan

	Page 37		Page 38
1	for the project?	1	exceed the 10,000-square-foot threshold?
2	A. There is. So the project is over	2	A. Correct.
3	5,000 square feet of disturbance; qualifies as a	3	Q. Okay. Isn't there another threshold,
4	minor development. And there is a permeable paving	4	if you have more than 5,000 square feet of
5	system in the driveway, with a recharge component	5	impermeable or impervious surface, that would put
6	underneath, with calculations showing that we	6	this project in the major development category?
7	comply with the city ordinance.	7	A. For the Jersey City ordinance, for the
8	Q. And when you say it's a minor	8	stormwater ordinance, there are two categories:
9	development, doesn't our ordinance state that more	9	There's minor development, which is between 5,000
10	than 10,000 square feet of disturbance make it a	10	and 10,000, and then, above 10,000 is a major
11	major development?	11	development. Separately, the soil conservation
12	A. The qualifications for disturbance for	12	district requires a permit for if soil
13	on-site puts us in that range, between 5,000 and	13	disturbance exceeds 5,000 square feet. So we would
	10,000, as a minor development.	14	submit to the soil conservation district for the
14	•		
15	Q. Okay. And how much disturbance is	15	project.
16	shown in your plans?	16	Q. Okay. Have you have you reviewed
17	A. The on-site disturbance is 8,600	17	our stormwater ordinance? Because I it's I
18	square feet.	18	believe it says I'm just going to pull it out
19	Q. Isn't on your plan, sheet C-801,	19	here it says that major development there's
20	isn't is limit of disturbance shown as 10,519 feet?	20	different types of thresholds for major development.
21	A. Yes, and with about 2,000 of that	21	One is the disturbance of 10,000 or more square
22	being off-site work within the right-of-way, which	22	feet, but it also says you're this would be
23	is not counted toward that threshold for	23	considered a major development if the creation if
24	development.	24	there is a creation if the project results in the
25	Q. Okay. So you're saying you don't	25	creation or replacement of 5,000 or more square feet
	Page 39		Page 40
	rage 39		rage 40
1	_	1	-
1 2	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project	1 2	process.
2	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of	2	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development
2	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?	2	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if
2 3 4	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not.	2 3 4	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding
2 3 4 5	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not.  Q. How much does it does it create or	2 3 4 5	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?
2 3 4 5 6	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not.  Q. How much does it does it create or replace?	2 3 4 5 6	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been
2 3 4 5 6 7	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not.  Q. How much does it does it create or replace?  A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed	2 3 4 5 6 7	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not.  Q. How much does it does it create or replace?  A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not. Q. How much does it does it create or replace? A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface. Q. How did you come up with that number?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not. Q. How much does it does it create or replace? A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface. Q. How did you come up with that number? A. That's the interior of the site that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not.  Q. How much does it does it create or replace?  A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface.  Q. How did you come up with that number?  A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not. Q. How much does it does it create or replace? A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface. Q. How did you come up with that number? A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic. Q. Isn't the part of the site where the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the scope of the direct testimony. So if you have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not. Q. How much does it does it create or replace? A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface. Q. How did you come up with that number? A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic. Q. Isn't the part of the site where the building's going also a regulated impervious	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the scope of the direct testimony. So if you have a witness that's going to testify in conflict to what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not. Q. How much does it does it create or replace? A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface. Q. How did you come up with that number? A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic. Q. Isn't the part of the site where the building's going also a regulated impervious surface?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the scope of the direct testimony. So if you have a witness that's going to testify in conflict to what has been put forth, then we will hear from that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not. Q. How much does it does it create or replace?  A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface. Q. How did you come up with that number? A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic. Q. Isn't the part of the site where the building's going also a regulated impervious surface?  A. Not for the purposes of stormwater.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the scope of the direct testimony. So if you have a witness that's going to testify in conflict to what has been put forth, then we will hear from that witness.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not.  Q. How much does it does it create or replace?  A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface.  Q. How did you come up with that number?  A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic.  Q. Isn't the part of the site where the building's going also a regulated impervious surface?  A. Not for the purposes of stormwater.  Q. Are you sure about that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the scope of the direct testimony. So if you have a witness that's going to testify in conflict to what has been put forth, then we will hear from that witness.  MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'm just going to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not. Q. How much does it does it create or replace? A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface. Q. How did you come up with that number? A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic. Q. Isn't the part of the site where the building's going also a regulated impervious surface?  A. Not for the purposes of stormwater. Q. Are you sure about that? A. We to be honest, we have not yet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the scope of the direct testimony. So if you have a witness that's going to testify in conflict to what has been put forth, then we will hear from that witness.  MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'm just going to say that all as far as I'm concerned, anything that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not. Q. How much does it does it create or replace? A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface. Q. How did you come up with that number? A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic. Q. Isn't the part of the site where the building's going also a regulated impervious surface?  A. Not for the purposes of stormwater. Q. Are you sure about that? A. We to be honest, we have not yet gotten a comment letter from JCMUA; however, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the scope of the direct testimony. So if you have a witness that's going to testify in conflict to what has been put forth, then we will hear from that witness.  MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'm just going to say that all as far as I'm concerned, anything that was uploaded to this is not a courtroom. Anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not. Q. How much does it does it create or replace?  A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface. Q. How did you come up with that number? A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic. Q. Isn't the part of the site where the building's going also a regulated impervious surface?  A. Not for the purposes of stormwater. Q. Are you sure about that? A. We to be honest, we have not yet gotten a comment letter from JCMUA; however, the from our vast experience in Jersey City, and this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the scope of the direct testimony. So if you have a witness that's going to testify in conflict to what has been put forth, then we will hear from that witness.  MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'm just going to say that all as far as I'm concerned, anything that was uploaded to this is not a courtroom. Anything that was part of the application is something I can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not. Q. How much does it does it create or replace?  A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface. Q. How did you come up with that number? A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic. Q. Isn't the part of the site where the building's going also a regulated impervious surface?  A. Not for the purposes of stormwater. Q. Are you sure about that? A. We to be honest, we have not yet gotten a comment letter from JCMUA; however, the from our vast experience in Jersey City, and this ordinance, this is how we interpreted it, and thus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the scope of the direct testimony. So if you have a witness that's going to testify in conflict to what has been put forth, then we will hear from that witness.  MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'm just going to say that all as far as I'm concerned, anything that was uploaded to this is not a courtroom. Anything that was part of the application is something I can ask questions about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not.  Q. How much does it does it create or replace?  A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface.  Q. How did you come up with that number?  A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic.  Q. Isn't the part of the site where the building's going also a regulated impervious surface?  A. Not for the purposes of stormwater.  Q. Are you sure about that?  A. We to be honest, we have not yet gotten a comment letter from JCMUA; however, the from our vast experience in Jersey City, and this ordinance, this is how we interpreted it, and thus far have not gotten a comment that it was done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the scope of the direct testimony. So if you have a witness that's going to testify in conflict to what has been put forth, then we will hear from that witness.  MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'm just going to say that all as far as I'm concerned, anything that was uploaded to this is not a courtroom. Anything that was part of the application is something I can ask questions about.  MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I've given
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not. Q. How much does it does it create or replace? A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface. Q. How did you come up with that number? A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic. Q. Isn't the part of the site where the building's going also a regulated impervious surface?  A. Not for the purposes of stormwater. Q. Are you sure about that? A. We to be honest, we have not yet gotten a comment letter from JCMUA; however, the from our vast experience in Jersey City, and this ordinance, this is how we interpreted it, and thus far have not gotten a comment that it was done incorrectly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the scope of the direct testimony. So if you have a witness that's going to testify in conflict to what has been put forth, then we will hear from that witness.  MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'm just going to say that all as far as I'm concerned, anything that was uploaded to this is not a courtroom. Anything that was part of the application is something I can ask questions about.  MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I've given you a lot of liberty to go far afield from his direct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not. Q. How much does it does it create or replace? A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface. Q. How did you come up with that number? A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic. Q. Isn't the part of the site where the building's going also a regulated impervious surface? A. Not for the purposes of stormwater. Q. Are you sure about that? A. We to be honest, we have not yet gotten a comment letter from JCMUA; however, the from our vast experience in Jersey City, and this ordinance, this is how we interpreted it, and thus far have not gotten a comment that it was done incorrectly. Q. Okay. Are you expecting to receive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the scope of the direct testimony. So if you have a witness that's going to testify in conflict to what has been put forth, then we will hear from that witness.  MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'm just going to say that all as far as I'm concerned, anything that was uploaded to this is not a courtroom. Anything that was part of the application is something I can ask questions about.  MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I've given you a lot of liberty to go far afield from his direct testimony. So ask your next question, but if you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not. Q. How much does it does it create or replace?  A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface. Q. How did you come up with that number? A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic. Q. Isn't the part of the site where the building's going also a regulated impervious surface?  A. Not for the purposes of stormwater. Q. Are you sure about that? A. We to be honest, we have not yet gotten a comment letter from JCMUA; however, the from our vast experience in Jersey City, and this ordinance, this is how we interpreted it, and thus far have not gotten a comment that it was done incorrectly.  Q. Okay. Are you expecting to receive feedback from the MUA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the scope of the direct testimony. So if you have a witness that's going to testify in conflict to what has been put forth, then we will hear from that witness.  MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'm just going to say that all as far as I'm concerned, anything that was uploaded to this is not a courtroom. Anything that was part of the application is something I can ask questions about.  MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I've given you a lot of liberty to go far afield from his direct testimony. So ask your next question, but if you're going to have a witness that's going to get up and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of regulated impervious surface. Does this project create or replace 5,000 or more square feet of regulated impervious surface?  A. It does not. Q. How much does it does it create or replace? A. We have 3,671 square feet of proposed impervious surface. Q. How did you come up with that number? A. That's the interior of the site that is subject to vehicular traffic. Q. Isn't the part of the site where the building's going also a regulated impervious surface? A. Not for the purposes of stormwater. Q. Are you sure about that? A. We to be honest, we have not yet gotten a comment letter from JCMUA; however, the from our vast experience in Jersey City, and this ordinance, this is how we interpreted it, and thus far have not gotten a comment that it was done incorrectly. Q. Okay. Are you expecting to receive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	process.  Q. So how do you know if the development complies with the requirements of the ordinance if you don't receive the finding the written finding from the MUA?  MR. HARRINGTON: I object. It's been asked and answered. It's based upon his experience in other projects in the city.  MR. ALAMPI: I'm going to sustain the objection.  Ms. Hadjiyannis, we are well outside the scope of the direct testimony. So if you have a witness that's going to testify in conflict to what has been put forth, then we will hear from that witness.  MS. HADJIYANNIS: I'm just going to say that all as far as I'm concerned, anything that was uploaded to this is not a courtroom. Anything that was part of the application is something I can ask questions about.  MR. ALAMPI: Ms. Hadjiyannis, I've given you a lot of liberty to go far afield from his direct testimony. So ask your next question, but if you're

	Page 41		Page 42
1	development for stormwater purposes, then we'll hear	1	the refusal depth is cobble, boulders, or bedrock,
2	that testimony when we get to it. But let's move to	2	and that was not part of their scope of work. I
3	the next question, please.	3	believe it was your testimony that there was rock
4	BY MS. HADJIYANNIS:	4	core drilling?
5	Q. Okay. And does the stormwater	5	MR. LIEBESKIND: No, the question was
6	management plan, does it factor in that this is an	6	whether we we satisfied with the provisions of the
7	environmentally sensitive area?	7	PPOD and established the depth to bedrock.
8	A. That is not part of the stormwater	8	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Can you show me
9	calculation.	9	where it says that that was bedrock.
10	Q. Okay. And just what's the surface	10	MR. LIEBESKIND: The boring logs show
11	area of the proposed building?	11	the depth of rock for each of the five locations.
12	A. I would prefer to defer to the	12	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Because where I just
13	architect on the exact measurements.	13	read, it doesn't conclude that that was bedrock. And
14	Q. Okay. All right. I think that's it	14	if you could, just point me to where in the boring
15	for my questions. Thank you, Mr. Liebeskind.	15	logs it shows that that's bedrock. I see possible
16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Thank	16	rock on B1.
17	you, Counsel.	17	MR. LIEBESKIND: Correct, yeah, I'm
18	I do want to follow up on one thing,	18	using what they denote as possible rock.
19	Mr. Liebeskind. I'm looking at the report from	19	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Is it outside
20	Johnson Soils at the bottom of page 2 of 9 under	20	your scope to determine if that's bedrock or not?
21	findings. It shows the borings 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5,	21	MR. LIEBESKIND: Yeah, I can't speak
22	encountered refusal at 8 foot 9, 13'7, 8 foot, 10	22	beyond what's noted in their findings.
23	foot 1, and 10 foot 1-and-a-half.	23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay.
24	It does go further down and says rock	24	Mr. Harrington, do you have anybody that can testify
25	core drilling would be necessary to define whether	25	to that?
	Page 43		Page 44
1	MR. HARRINGTON: I have a geotechnical	1	survey that was prepared for the project?
2	engineer that I'll bring up next. And I just have a	2	A. It was.
3	few questions for Brian before we move on, if	3	Q. Okay.
4	that's	4	MR. HARRINGTON: That's all I have.
5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Sure. Absolutely.	5	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,
6	I just wanted to get that out while it was on my	6	Counsel.
7	mind. Yeah, go ahead, Counsel.	7	Are there any other questions for
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	8	Mr. Liebeskind?
9	BY MR. HARRINGTON:	9	Okay. Mr. Harrington, the floor is
10	Q. So, Brian, I just wanted to touch upon	10	yours, sir.
11	the procedural regulations, when you were talking	11	MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Then we'll bring
12	about calculations, or asked about calculations, it	12	up since there's some questions about the site and
13	says a physical description of the site which shall	13	the geotech, we do have a geotechnical engineer, Nejm
14	include a technical summary of site characteristics	14	Jundi, that I'd like to promote, and have him speak
15	such as soil, load-bearing capacity, erosion	15	to the site.
16	potential, depth to bedrock. So is it your	16	MR. ALAMPI: Chairman, can we take a
17	understanding or do you agree that the report	17	30-second break?
18	submitted had a summary of all of those conditions?	18	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Let's it's 7
19	A. Correct.	19	o'clock now. I try to get Mike a break at 7 o'clock.
20	Q. And this doesn't state that you need to	20	So if we could, in between witnesses, maybe we'll
21	make any specific calculations for that report. Is	21	take 10, we'll be back at 7:10.
22	that correct?	22	(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in
	A. Right.	23	the proceeding.)
23	č		
23	O Okay And in the land form analysis	24	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right Counsel
23 24 25	Q. Okay. And in the land form analysis that you amended, was that the result of the updated	24 25	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: All right. Counsel, let's call your next witness, please.

	Page 45		Page 46
1	MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Thank you. I'm	1	degree I actually forgot when I earned it, but
2	going to call Nejm Jundi. Mr. Jundi?	2	about 2005. I'm a licensed professional in the state
3	MR. ALAMPI: Do we have the witness?	3	engineer in the state of New Jersey, in addition
4	MR. HARRINGTON: He's up here.	4	to New York and other states. I've been practicing
5	MR. BLACK: I've asked them to unmute.	5	geotechnical engineering since 1998; specifically
6	It's possible they haven't come back to their	6	here with this firm, I started back in 2008.
7	computer yet.	7	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. And,
8	MR. JUNDI: I'm sorry, I'm here.	8	Mr. Jundi, your licenses, is it specific to
9	MR. HARRINGTON: Can you put your camera	9	geotechnical engineering, or is it just an
10	on, Mr. Jundi?	10	engineering license?
11	MR. JUNDI: Sure.	11	MR. JUNDI: No, there's no specific
12	NEJM JUNDI, having been duly	12	license for geotech engineering in the state of New
13	sworn, testified as follows:	13	Jersey.
14	MR. JUNDI: My name is Nejm Jundi, first	14	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Understood.
15	name N-E-J-M, last name J-U-N-D-I.	15	But given your background, I feel
16	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Mr. Jundi, good	16	comfortable going forward. And that license is
17	evening. I don't believe we've ever qualified you in	17	current tonight?
18	the past. I don't think we've ever qualified a	18	MR. JUNDI: Yes.
19	geotechnical engineer. So tonight's a first for us,	19	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,
20	and I'm excited for it. But if you could, just go	20	sir. You're qualified.
21	through your background, your educational background,	21	MR. JUNDI: Thank you.
22	and your licensing in the state of New Jersey.	22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	MR. JUNDI: Sure. I hold a master's	23	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
24	degree in the geotechnical engineering specialty. My	24	Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Jundi. Before we
25	BS degree was earned back in 1998, and my master's	25	get into the specifics of the site, could you give
	D 47		
	Page 47		Page 48
1	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City,	1	Page 48  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you
1 2	_	1 2	_
	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City,		MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you
2	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to	2	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN Engineer, PC.
2	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.	2 3	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by? MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN Engineer, PC. MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the
2 3 4 5 6	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen? Q. Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just	2 3 4 5 6	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by? MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN Engineer, PC. MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your
2 3 4 5 6 7	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen? Q. Yes. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to present to you is a Google Earth map with, basically,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.  MR. JUNDI: Yes, we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to present to you is a Google Earth map with, basically, dots showing my projects in Jersey City.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.  MR. JUNDI: Yes, we have  MR. ALAMPI: Would you say it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to present to you is a Google Earth map with, basically, dots showing my projects in Jersey City.  MR. HARRINGTON: So let's mark that as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.  MR. JUNDI: Yes, we have  MR. ALAMPI: Would you say it's a hundred?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to present to you is a Google Earth map with, basically, dots showing my projects in Jersey City.  MR. HARRINGTON: So let's mark that as A-4, when it comes up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.  MR. JUNDI: Yes, we have  MR. ALAMPI: Would you say it's a hundred?  MR. JUNDI: I would say more than that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to present to you is a Google Earth map with, basically, dots showing my projects in Jersey City.  MR. HARRINGTON: So let's mark that as A-4, when it comes up.  MR. ALAMPI: We're up to A-5, because we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.  MR. JUNDI: Yes, we have  MR. ALAMPI: Would you say it's a hundred?  MR. JUNDI: I would say more than that, but yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to present to you is a Google Earth map with, basically, dots showing my projects in Jersey City.  MR. HARRINGTON: So let's mark that as A-4, when it comes up.  MR. ALAMPI: We're up to A-5, because we marked the colorized site plan as A-4.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.  MR. JUNDI: Yes, we have  MR. ALAMPI: Would you say it's a hundred?  MR. JUNDI: I would say more than that, but yeah.  MR. ALAMPI: Within Jersey City.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to present to you is a Google Earth map with, basically, dots showing my projects in Jersey City.  MR. HARRINGTON: So let's mark that as A-4, when it comes up.  MR. ALAMPI: We're up to A-5, because we marked the colorized site plan as A-4.  (Whereupon, Exhibit A-5 is marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.  MR. JUNDI: Yes, we have  MR. ALAMPI: Would you say it's a hundred?  MR. JUNDI: I would say more than that, but yeah.  MR. ALAMPI: Within Jersey City.  MR. JUNDI: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to present to you is a Google Earth map with, basically, dots showing my projects in Jersey City.  MR. HARRINGTON: So let's mark that as A-4, when it comes up.  MR. ALAMPI: We're up to A-5, because we marked the colorized site plan as A-4.  (Whereupon, Exhibit A-5 is marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.  MR. JUNDI: Yes, we have  MR. ALAMPI: Would you say it's a hundred?  MR. JUNDI: I would say more than that, but yeah.  MR. ALAMPI: Within Jersey City.  MR. JUNDI: Yeah.  BY MR. HARRINGTON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to present to you is a Google Earth map with, basically, dots showing my projects in Jersey City.  MR. HARRINGTON: So let's mark that as A-4, when it comes up.  MR. ALAMPI: We're up to A-5, because we marked the colorized site plan as A-4.  (Whereupon, Exhibit A-5 is marked for identification.)  MR. ALAMPI: So go ahead, Mr. Jundi, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.  MR. JUNDI: Yes, we have  MR. ALAMPI: Would you say it's a hundred?  MR. JUNDI: I would say more than that, but yeah.  MR. ALAMPI: Within Jersey City.  MR. JUNDI: Yeah.  BY MR. HARRINGTON:  Q. Okay. Mr. Jundi, maybe we could cut
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to present to you is a Google Earth map with, basically, dots showing my projects in Jersey City.  MR. HARRINGTON: So let's mark that as A-4, when it comes up.  MR. ALAMPI: We're up to A-5, because we marked the colorized site plan as A-4.  (Whereupon, Exhibit A-5 is marked for identification.)  MR. ALAMPI: So go ahead, Mr. Jundi, you can share your screen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.  MR. JUNDI: Yes, we have  MR. ALAMPI: Would you say it's a hundred?  MR. JUNDI: I would say more than that, but yeah.  MR. ALAMPI: Within Jersey City.  MR. JUNDI: Yeah.  BY MR. HARRINGTON:  Q. Okay. Mr. Jundi, maybe we could cut this down. If you could, maybe just speak to a few
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to present to you is a Google Earth map with, basically, dots showing my projects in Jersey City.  MR. HARRINGTON: So let's mark that as A-4, when it comes up.  MR. ALAMPI: We're up to A-5, because we marked the colorized site plan as A-4.  (Whereupon, Exhibit A-5 is marked for identification.)  MR. ALAMPI: So go ahead, Mr. Jundi, you can share your screen.  A. Basically, every dot of those that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.  MR. JUNDI: Yes, we have  MR. ALAMPI: Would you say it's a hundred?  MR. JUNDI: I would say more than that, but yeah.  MR. ALAMPI: Within Jersey City.  MR. JUNDI: Yeah.  BY MR. HARRINGTON:  Q. Okay. Mr. Jundi, maybe we could cut this down. If you could, maybe just speak to a few recent projects that you have worked on or are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to present to you is a Google Earth map with, basically, dots showing my projects in Jersey City.  MR. HARRINGTON: So let's mark that as A-4, when it comes up.  MR. ALAMPI: We're up to A-5, because we marked the colorized site plan as A-4.  (Whereupon, Exhibit A-5 is marked for identification.)  MR. ALAMPI: So go ahead, Mr. Jundi, you can share your screen.  A. Basically, every dot of those that you see in front of you I'm going to just remove the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.  MR. JUNDI: Yes, we have  MR. ALAMPI: Would you say it's a hundred?  MR. JUNDI: I would say more than that, but yeah.  MR. ALAMPI: Within Jersey City.  MR. JUNDI: Yeah.  BY MR. HARRINGTON:  Q. Okay. Mr. Jundi, maybe we could cut this down. If you could, maybe just speak to a few recent projects that you have worked on or are currently working on, that involve, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to present to you is a Google Earth map with, basically, dots showing my projects in Jersey City.  MR. HARRINGTON: So let's mark that as A-4, when it comes up.  MR. ALAMPI: We're up to A-5, because we marked the colorized site plan as A-4.  (Whereupon, Exhibit A-5 is marked for identification.)  MR. ALAMPI: So go ahead, Mr. Jundi, you can share your screen.  A. Basically, every dot of those that you see in front of you I'm going to just remove the labeling, make it easier for you to see that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.  MR. JUNDI: Yes, we have  MR. ALAMPI: Would you say it's a hundred?  MR. JUNDI: I would say more than that, but yeah.  MR. ALAMPI: Within Jersey City.  MR. JUNDI: Yeah.  BY MR. HARRINGTON:  Q. Okay. Mr. Jundi, maybe we could cut this down. If you could, maybe just speak to a few recent projects that you have worked on or are currently working on, that involve, you know, similar, you know, rock formations that you've had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	us the benefit of your experience in Jersey City, and what type of work you have done with regard to similar sites?  A. Can I share my screen?  Q. Yes.  CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Jundi, just understand that, if, you know, you're presenting anything on screen that wasn't delivered to this board prior to this meeting, we would need to mark that as an exhibit.  MR. JUNDI: Well, what I'm going to present to you is a Google Earth map with, basically, dots showing my projects in Jersey City.  MR. HARRINGTON: So let's mark that as A-4, when it comes up.  MR. ALAMPI: We're up to A-5, because we marked the colorized site plan as A-4.  (Whereupon, Exhibit A-5 is marked for identification.)  MR. ALAMPI: So go ahead, Mr. Jundi, you can share your screen.  A. Basically, every dot of those that you see in front of you I'm going to just remove the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, who are you employed by?  MR. JUNDI: I own the firm, JZN  Engineer, PC.  MR. ALAMPI: And what is depicted on the screen is red dots showing project sites that your firm has been involved with in doing geotechnical engineering for?  MR. JUNDI: Yes.  MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Jundi, it looks like a lot.  MR. JUNDI: Yes, we have  MR. ALAMPI: Would you say it's a hundred?  MR. JUNDI: I would say more than that, but yeah.  MR. ALAMPI: Within Jersey City.  MR. JUNDI: Yeah.  BY MR. HARRINGTON:  Q. Okay. Mr. Jundi, maybe we could cut this down. If you could, maybe just speak to a few recent projects that you have worked on or are currently working on, that involve, you know,

Page 49

1

2

3

9

12

20

25

3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 50

Page 52

Α Sure. This is 499 Summit Avenue. It's a 56-story building. It's being constructed as we speak. What you see here in the green, that's just vegetation covering the diabase rock formation, which is the same formation as the project site, the same kind of cliff. What you see here are the Port Authority rail lines.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And this one has similar condition, where you have a flat surface on top here, and then a sharp drop of rock formation, slope. And we are constructing a 56-story tower here. This is in the Journal Square area, and it has the same issue as the stability of the rock face here, as it relates to adding weight of the building. This is the most recent job we are doing.

We similarly did that, the same thing, but this job is on hold right now, 500 Summit Avenue.

- Q. Okay. And did you also work on a site across from the new courthouse, off of Route 139?
- 21 I might have. I'm sorry, I've done so 22 many --
- 23 Q. It's Milton Fantin. Does that --
- 24 I don't -- no, I don't recall. What's A.
- 25 the address? If you don't mind.

- O. 32 Oakland Avenue.
  - Yeah. Yes. Yes.

CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Counsel, I think we

4 could move on. I don't think we need to --

- 5 Yeah, this is the project, and that's
- 6 the same cliff. But this is the old historic
- 7 Conrail tunnel that is historically preserved right
- 8 now, it's the same tunnel, and that's the building.
  - We actually worked on two buildings here.
- 10 Okay. All right. Yeah, let's move on,
- 11 then, Mr. Jundi, specific to this site. Have you
  - visited the site?
- 13 A. Yes, I drove by it, I walked through
- 14 it, but I did not do any investigation specifically 1.5
  - on the site here.
- 16 Okay. But did you review the Johnson 17
  - Soils report that is part of this application?
- 18 A.
- 19 Okay. And based upon, you know, your
  - experience in Jersey City, your visiting the site,
- 21 and the Johnson Soils report, can you give your --
- 22 give us the benefit of your opinion or analysis of
- 23 the site conditions here?
- 24 Sure. You're on top of the Palisades
  - rock formation over here. The rock, typically, is

Page 51

1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But Mr. Jundi, if I 2 could just jump in real quick.

MR. JUNDI: Sure.

right below the surface.

4 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: You know, it's your 5 experience that, you know, on those two projects just 6 north of the site, that that's where the rock should 7 be. And, you know, to be honest, the stairs, I've 8 walked those stairs I don't know how many times at 9 this point; it's a vast difference between that set 10

of stairs and the mass that we're talking about at 152 Ogden.

11 12

MR. JUNDI: Well, the stairs goes down the hill. Right? And each landing of the stairs is supported on micropiles that are sunk in the rock. But the top of the stairs, there's an existing retaining wall, historic retaining wall over here, and we investigated to understand how to put the landing on top, and it was -- and we found rock to be shallow in that area. And the top of the rock over here, on the cliff, behind that existing residential development, is also evident over there, that it's

Johnson Soils did five borings that refused below the surface, but regardless of if the rock is at 5 or 15 feet here, we will be supporting

anywhere -- it actually varies, because its -diabase is vertically fractured and weathered from the top, so it varies between at the surface to down to 15 feet, typically.

And then, as you go down this cliff, you can see where I marked here, the rock is shallow right next to that cliff, and then historically drops to 100 feet, like, in these areas here.

We have done -- I don't know if you guys are familiar with, this is the -- the cliff, and this is the stairs, the famous stairs that was done for the community a while back, over at Mountain Road. The stairs itself is supported on micropiles; however, the top of the stairs reach the top of the Mountain Road, it's all rock. This development was done back in 2006, 2007, maybe before then; however, we were retained to stabilize the rock face and this whole area here, because rock was falling down, and we stabilized it. And rock was about 5 feet below the top of the hill.

So while the report in Johnson Soils did not core the rock, as the gentleman stated before, the depth is -- of refusal is in line with the -- where the rock should be.

Page 53 Page 54 1 1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: But, again, "should" this building and anchoring into the rock as not to 2 cause any stress on the slope. 2 and "shall" and -- you know, there's a big difference 3 3 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Understood, but there. We're talking about should. You know, I 4 4 think we should have that information before we talk there's -- and please understand that, you know, this 5 is a legal hearing, and we adhere to the letter of 5 about putting an eight-story building there. 6 the law -- I don't see anything in a report that says 6 MR. HARRINGTON: Well, if I could jump 7 7 that that's bedrock. in, Mr. Jundi, isn't that analysis done further down 8 MR. JUNDI: Well, like I said --8 the road, and you would address that prior -- or as 9 9 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And your testimony, part of our permitting, and not before a site plan 10 10 sir, is that you haven't even been on-site. You've approval? Is that your experience? 11 11 been by there, but you haven't done any technical MR. JUNDI: Yes, correct. Most of the 12 assessment of the site. 12 time, the geotechnical engineer is hired to do a soil 13 MR. JUNDI: No, we have not undertaken a 13 analysis after the site has been approved from a 14 14 geotechnical investigation of the site yet. I'm zoning perspective. During the permitting, we will 1.5 hired -- the Johnson Soils report was done prior to 15 have to, you know, work closely with the structural 16 16 my client's involvement on the site, and we are being engineer of the project to secure the building, or 17 hired to be his geotechnical engineer firm for this 17 support. So we -- go ahead. 18 project. So, down the road, we will be doing an 18 MR. HARRINGTON: And -- and if, during 19 extensive investigation here, including rock coring 19 your analysis at that time, independently or in 20 and all that kind of stuff. 20 conjunction with the structural engineer, if it's 21 21 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So you can't determined that the building cannot be supported, 22 give me the answer on the rock coring tonight. 22 then you simply cannot move forward with the site 23 MR. JUNDI: No. I can tell you that 23 plan or project, regardless of a site plan approval. 24 24 it's in line with the area that -- where the rock Is that correct? 25 25 depth is being stated should exist. MR. JUNDI: Well, there's no such thing Page 55 Page 56 1 MR. ALAMPI: Chairman, we're not going 1 as you cannot support a building. 2 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. 2 to argue with the witness. Is there something that 3 MR. JUNDI: I mean, we -- on the same 3 you want to see? You want to see a geotech with 4 4 where the rock is, because that wasn't done? formation, same rock, same soil conditions, same 5 5 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Well, I mean, the everything, we're putting a 56-story building. And 6 anybody's welcome to go look at the site, 499 Summit 6 testimony was, you know, from Mr. Liebeskind, that 7 Avenue. Or you can look at the Oakland Avenue job 7 there's bedrock there. I don't doubt that there's 8 8 that, actually, the building has been completed now. bedrock somewhere, but, you know, I think we should 9 Same conditions, same rock, just a different area of 9 have that information prior to any action from this 10 the city. Same formation. Diabase rock. 10 board. You know, this is a very different 11 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I think that slope 11 application than we're used to seeing. You know, the 12 is considerably different, though. Isn't it, sir? 12 question of can this -- can this property -- can this 13 13 MR. JUNDI: No. lot support an eight-story building is in question 14 14 MR. HARRINGTON: Actually, aren't the here, I believe. 15 15 slopes over there, you have a sheer drop adjacent to MR. JUNDI: Let me ask you a question. 16 those properties. Correct? 16 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I'm not here to 17 MR. JUNDI: And so did we here. I mean, 17 answer questions, sir. 18 you can see it. You see that? That's the Conrail, 18 MR. JUNDI: No, I am going to ask my own question and answer it. Okay? 19 it's a sheer drop. 19 20 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, that's 20 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So ask yourself a 21 21 considerably different than the site we're talking question. 22 about, Mr. Jundi. 22 MR. JUNDI: Yes, I am. 23 MR. JUNDI: Well, you have a flat 23 If the rock is 5 feet down or 15 feet 24 surface here, and then the rock here. That's the 24 down or 50 feet down, and the soil is not capable of 25 drop. 25 supporting the soil (sic), and we find out that the

Page 57 Page 58 1 the applicant was willing to pay you to do it. 1 stability of that slope is not, we're going to put 2 2 that building on piles, micropiles, drilled micro MR. JUNDI: I mean, my -- I still 3 3 piles socketed to rock. If we find the rock to be testify that, if the rock is 5 feet or 15 feet down, 4 4 we are supporting that building on the rock, whether shallow, we're going to tie the foundations down into 5 the rock, so it doesn't move. And that's exactly 5 directly with footing on the rock, if it's shallow, 6 6 what we did on every other job with similar or on micropiles socketed to the rock. And if --7 7 MR. ALAMPI: I understand your position, conditions. If the rock is at 5 feet, 10 feet, or 15 8 8 feet, it's not going to matter to us. There is a Mr. Jundi. 9 9 million ways to slice the apple; there's a million MR. JUNDI: Okay. 10 10 MR. ALAMPI: The board's position is ways to support a building. And we're not going to this is in the PPOD district, and there's a 11 design a building that's going to just weather out --11 12 MR. ALAMPI: No, but, respectfully, 12 requirement that has the board looking for a specific 13 answer that it does not seem that anybody can provide Mr. Jundi, we haven't -- we haven't done the 13 14 14 necessary work to design the building. So we have a to the board. And as always, as the attorney for the 15 building design without the necessary data as to 1.5 board, I will defer to the board as to their 16 16 satisfaction. whether or not it can be supported as being proposed. 17 17 I don't doubt that you can find a solution to the But Chairman and board, please correct 18 problem, but the applicant's asking for an approval 18 me if I'm wrong, is that not the concern? 19 19 COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Yes. without knowing if there is a problem. 20 So the board wants to know what the 20 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, that's my 21 21 depth to bedrock is, and nobody can provide that concern. 22 answer, because the applicant has not incurred that 22 COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Absolutely so. 23 expense to be able to tell us what the depth of 23 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: You know, obviously, 24 24 bedrock is. I understand that wasn't part of your Santo, I'm not -- I'm not an attorney. I'm not an 25 25 scope of work, but I know that you could do it, if engineer. I'm a -- just a simple pipe fitter. So, Page 59 Page 60 1 MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah, no, I -- no, I 1 you know, I'm not completely familiar with the ins 2 2 and outs of the Palisades Overlay. So, you know, as hear what you're saying, and, you know, I don't have 3 far as I'm concerned, what I'm gathering here -- and, 3 to read the tea leaves any further. It's -- I 4 4 understand that you're looking to see that answer; you know, to put any concerns to rest about whether 5 5 this -- you know, it's in question whether this site that you don't believe you have that answer today. 6 can handle this size building. 6 It's a little unique, with the PPOD being part of 7 Now, Mr. Jundi's testimony is, you know, 7 this. 8 8 essentially, we'll find a way to do it; there's rock I'd note that, you know, other 9 9 in there somewhere, we'll find a way to do it. You applications -- typical site plan applications, you 10 know, that's not a -- that's not a black-and-white 10 don't have to provide for that. They're not -- I 11 11 acknowledge they're not in the PPOD, but the other answer for this board. 12 MR. ALAMPI: And, Chairman, if I'm not 12 ones that are built all over, you know, Journal 13 13 understanding you, please correct me, but it sounds Square, where we're dealing with -- you know, within 14 14 to me like -- Mr. Jundi, please stop sharing your feet of cliff faces, not gentle slopes. 15 15 screen -- it sounds to me, Chairman, like what you're But that being said, I think, you know, 16 articulating is I wanted to know where the bedrock 16 I hear the board. You want this information. I 17 is, and I want to know that you've designed this 17 don't want to proceed when you don't have the 18 18 information you want to consider the application. based on where that bedrock is, before I'm 19 19 So, you know, let us carry -- carry this to a comfortable moving forward. 20 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah, I think 20 meeting -- I'm not sure how long something like this

21

22

23

24

25

the time limit.

21

22

23

24

25

that's, you know, unbelievably important in this

MR. ALAMPI: Chairman, you don't have to

situation. Just the --

say anymore. It's very clear to me.

So, Mr. Harrington, it's --

would take. So I'd respectfully just ask to be

carried to the next meeting, with the, you know,

well, you know what? I know we won't have it within

understanding that, if I don't -- I don't know --

Page 61 Page 62 MR. ALAMPI: Mr. Harrington, we're going 1 for 2024 was adopted. I don't recall off the top of 2 2 to carry it to the meeting in January. my head what that date is. 3 3 MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, that's where I was CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I don't, either. 4 4 going. There's no way we're going to have MR. HARRINGTON: It is -- I know it's in 5 information in the next two days, and 10 days prior. 5 person as well. 6 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yes. 6 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It is. 7 7 MR. HARRINGTON: And we can carry it to MR. HARRINGTON: And it is -- and it is 8 8 the first meeting in January, and preserve the down at the -- near the JCRA office building. 9 9 notices. CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: It's at the annex 10 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Harrington, 10 there. 11 there are -- there's two commissioners here, myself 11 MR. ALAMPI: Cameron, can you give us 12 and Commissioner Torres, that won't be at that next 12 the date and the location of that meeting, the first 13 13 meeting, so I think it's completely unfair to have, meeting in January? 14 14 you know, the rest of the board watch that video that MR. BLACK: I can. 1.5 we just experienced in real life. 15 COMMISSIONER TORRES: January 9th? 16 MR. HARRINGTON: Right. While it was 16 MR. BLACK: I'm just downloading it from 17 17 coming out of my mouth, I realized there's -- it's our data portal real quick. And it is downloaded. 18 not going to happen, because I'd have to have -- the 18 And that date is Tuesday, January 9th of 2024. The 19 experts would have to have that information in two 19 location is -- will take place in the boardroom of 20 days. 20 the Holloway Building, 4 Jackson Square, Jersey City. 2.1 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Yeah. I mean, you 21 So January 9th, 4 Jackson Square, Holloway Building 22 know, obviously, we just -- we want to have every bit 22 boardroom. 23 of information for an as-of-right project that we 23 MR. HARRINGTON: So, Mr. Alampi, no 24 24 further notice would be required, since this is 25 25 MR. HARRINGTON: So I know the schedule continued to that specific date and location? Page 63 Page 64 1 MR. ALAMPI: That is correct, having 1 Totally understand. Yep. 2 adopted the calendar for the 2024 board meeting 2 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: So, you know, we'll 3 dates, no further notice is required. 3 get word through planning if that'll happen that 4 4 To everybody in the public, all 115 of night or not, but just, statutorily, you know, we're 5 5 you that I see on the screen, this matter is being carrying -- preserving the notice to January 9th 6 carried -- continued to the January 9, 2024 meeting. 6 tonight, but, you know, we'll have further updates 7 It will be in person, at 4 Jackson Square. We are 7 that city planning will be able to advise on. 8 8 starting at 5:30. It is in person. We will no Do we need a motion, Santo? 9 longer be on the Zoom. We're excited to see 9 MR. ALAMPI: We'll entertain a motion. 10 everybody. So come on down to 4 Jackson Square. 10 Mr. Harrington, obviously, it goes 11 We'll be there. 11 without saying that you copy Ms. Hadjiyannis on all 12 12 And there'll be no further notice. If communications. 13 13 you got notice of this back in September, you will So, yes, Chairman, you can entertain a 14 14 not get another notice. This is your notice. Case motion to carry the matter to the January 9th, 2024 15 P22-140, 152 Ogden Avenue, is going to be carried to 15 meeting, live and in person, 4 Jackson Square. 16 the January 9th, 2024 public hearing, at 4 Jackson 16 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. I'll 17 Square, live and in person. This is your notice. 17 entertain a motion to carry. 18 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And, Mr. Harrington, 18 COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Chair, I'd like 19 you know, in the time being, you know, I don't know 19 to make a motion to carry case P22-140 to the January 20 how long something like this takes. If you could, 20 9, 2024 meeting at 4 Jackson Square, Jersey City. 21 maybe, you know, before that January 9th agenda goes COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Second. 21 22 22 out, maybe touch base with planning. I would hate CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: And that's with 23 to, you know, have the entire public come out to have 23 preservation of notice. Correct? 24 you say that it's not done that night. 24 COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Yes. 25 MR. HARRINGTON: Oh, absolutely. 25 CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. So we have

	Page 65		Page 66
1	the motion and a second to carry to January 9th.	1	good at it too.
2	MR. BLACK: I'll do the roll.	2	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: I am too. I think
3	Vice Chair Acting Vice Chair	3	Santo should be our hype man out there once in a
4	Gangadin?	4	while.
5	COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: Aye.	5	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
6	MR. BLACK: Commissioner Cruz?	6	7:40 p.m.)
7	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Aye.	7	7.40 p.nr.)
8	MR. BLACK: Commissioner Cruz?	8	
9	COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Aye.	9	
10	•	10	
11	MR. BLACK: Commissioner Lipski?		
	COMMISSIONER LIPSKI: Aye.	11	
12	MR. BLACK: Commissioner Torres?		
13	COMMISSIONER TORRES: Aye.	13	
14	MR. BLACK: And Chairman Langston?	14	
15	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Aye.	15	
16	MR. BLACK: Motion carries.	16	
17	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Okay. Thank you,	17	
18	everybody. We'll see you on the 9th in person.	18	
19	I feel like Santo was trying to sell us	1.9	
20	a used car there for a second. I was uncomfortable.	20	
21	MR. ALAMPI: I felt like I was promoting	21	
22	a concert.	22	
23	CHAIRMAN LANGSTON: Right?	23	
24	MR. ALAMPI: I'm excited, Chairman.	24	
2.5	COMMISSIONER GANGADIN: You were pretty	25	
	Page 67		
1	CERTIFICATE		
2	CERTIFICATE		
3			
4			
5	I, Michael Lombardozzi, a Notary Public		
6	and Certified Court Reporter of the State of New		
7	Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a		
8	true and accurate transcript of the testimony as		
9	taken stenographically by and before me at the time,		
10	place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth.		
11	I do further certify that I am neither a		
12	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any		
13 14	of the parties to this action, and that I am neither		
15	a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel and that I am not financially interested in this		
16	and that I am not financially interested in this action.		
17	words.		
18			
19	Michael Lombardozzi,		
	Certified Court Reporter, State of New Jersey		
20	CERT #: 30X100239700		
21	Date: 8 Dec 2023		
22			
23			
24			
25			

	14:2,17,22	57:22 58:13	7:5	aware 5:24
A 1 2.15 5.14 15	15:5 16:1	59:11 60:4,5	approval 7:22	Aye 65:5,7,9,11
<b>A-1</b> 3:15 5:14,15	affect 8:11,13	answered 33:18	54:10,23 57:18	65:13,15
<b>A-2</b> 3:16 13:2,6 <b>A-3</b> 3:17 14:4,8	9:13 11:21	40:7	approved 54:13	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	affidavit 5:9	answering 28:4	architect 12:14	В
15:15,16	afield 40:22	answering 20.1	31:4 41:13	<b>B</b> 3:13 13:10,10
<b>A-4</b> 3:18 16:9,11	agenda 4:2,14	anybody 20:14	architectural	<b>B1</b> 42:16
47:15,17 <b>A-5</b> 3:19 47:16	9:17,18 63:21	21:4 42:24	32:10	back 7:11 9:17
47:18	ago 27:12	58:13	architecture	10:1 14:13
	agree 9:7 23:21	anybody's 55:6	22:13	16:17 18:24
<b>A.I.C.P</b> 2:2,4,6 2:10	43:17	anymore 59:24	area 16:25 17:1	20:4 28:13
abeyance 9:20	agreed 8:21	appeal 5:25 6:3	20:7 29:25	44:21 45:6,25
abide 18:11	ahead 43:7	6:6,13,18,22	41:7,11 49:12	46:6 51:13,17
able 27:25 34:17	47:20 54:17	7:6,9 9:11 10:7	51:19 52:19	63:13
57:23 64:7	Alampi 1:14	appealable 6:21	53:24 55:9	background
absolutely 43:5	4:21 5:7,19	appealed 7:21	areas 51:9	45:21,21 46:15
58:22 63:25	7:24 9:15	8:3 11:20	argue 56:2	base 63:22
access 16:6	12:25 14:17,20	appear 5:13	arm 6:18	<b>based</b> 11:10
31:22 32:12	14:24 15:2,5	appears 29:7	articulating	27:2 40:7
34:17	15:10,14 16:9	appellant 9:12	59:16	50:19 59:18
accurate 15:2	20:16,22,24	appended 23:4	as-of-right	basement 31:3
67:8	21:3 28:15,24	appendix 25:15	12:10 61:23	basically 9:20
acknowledge	33:20 34:2	25:17	asked 25:22,22	47:12,22
60:11	40:9,21 44:16	<b>apple</b> 57:9	40:7 43:12	basis 17:14
acres 14:14,15	45:3 47:16,20	applicable 18:8	45:5	bear 28:10
Acting 65:3	48:1,5,10,13	applicant 1:16	asking 25:5,21	bearings 15:20
action 10:21,22	48:17 56:1	4:7 6:24 57:22	25:24 26:21	bedrock 18:7
11:21 56:9	57:12 58:7,10	58:1	27:24 33:19	24:20 27:2,4
67:13,16	59:12,23 61:1	applicant's	57:18	28:8,20 29:17
activity 31:21	62:11,23 63:1	57:18	aspects 22:8	29:19,22,23
actual 18:18,25	64:9 65:21,24	application 4:10	assessment 26:2	42:1,7,9,13,15
adding 49:14	Alampi's 9:7	4:13 5:22 6:3,6	26:3 53:12	42:20 43:16
addition 23:23	allow 7:17 12:24	7:1,14 8:19	Assistant 2:14	53:7 56:7,8
46:3	amended 24:23	9:16 10:1 11:5	ASSOCIATES	57:21,24 59:16
additional 30:14	43:25	12:4 15:8 24:1	1:4	59:18
30:15,21 34:18	analysis 24:2,13	24:12,21,22,23	Association 5:4	behalf 4:7 5:3
address 35:10	25:1 31:12	25:12 26:1,5	6:12 10:24	6:11 8:4
49:25 54:8	32:23 33:1,9	26:11,16 27:10	attorney 1:14,18	believe 8:4,19
adhere 53:5	33:12 34:12,21	27:23 40:19	58:14,24 67:12	9:22 10:1 11:3
adjacent 19:10	34:23 43:24	50:17 56:11	67:14	29:5 30:16
35:23 55:15	50:22 54:7,13	60:18	Attorneys 1:16	38:18 42:3
adjudicated 7:9	54:19	applications	Authority 49:7	45:17 56:14
adjusted 36:12	anchoring 53:1	60:9,9	available 22:24	60:5 <b>benefit</b> 10:8
administrative	annex 62:9	apply 23:13	Avenue 1:5 4:4	47:1 50:22
6:1	answer 20:10	Appreciate	14:11,16 16:17	beyond 12:11
adopted 9:22	28:1,2,13,16	11:25	16:18 17:7	42:22
62:1 63:2	28:19,20,21,22	appropriate 7:7	19:9 36:11	big 54:2
advise 64:7	28:25 29:4,4,8	22:22 29:3	49:1,18 50:1	binding 6:18 9:9
aerial 3:17,19	53:22 56:17,19	appropriately	55:7,7 63:15	willumg 0.10 7.9
			1	1

				- 5
<b>bit</b> 12:18 61:22	<b>bring</b> 43:2 44:11	25:4,13,17,25	65:17,23,24	colored 3:18
BLACK 2:6	BS 45:25	26:12 43:15	66:2	16:7
45:5 62:14,16	building 12:8	car 65:20	challenge 8:23	colorized 47:17
65:2,6,8,10,12	16:2,5,14,16	carried 4:15,17	challenging 8:6	come 8:12 12:17
65:14,16	16:17,20,23	5:11 60:22	changed 34:22	39:9 45:6
black-and-wh	18:1,15,16,18	63:6,15	characteristics	63:10,23
59:10	18:19 19:2	carries 65:16	24:19 26:14	<b>comes</b> 30:25
Block 1:5	20:1,2,7,25	carry 60:19,19	33:3 43:14	47:15
<b>blocks</b> 15:22	22:9,11,21	61:2,7 64:14	<b>Charles</b> 1:16 4:6	comfortable
<b>board</b> 1:1,9,14	26:7,17 27:3	64:17,19 65:1	checklist 23:24	22:8 46:16
3:16 4:14 5:24	31:3 32:10	carrying 64:5	CHRISTOPH	59:19
6:5,5,7,14,14	34:15 35:23	case 1:3 3:16 4:2	1:10	coming 36:6
6:19,19,21,25	41:11 49:2,14	6:9 13:5 63:14	<b>city</b> 1:1,1 2:3,5,7	61:17
7:3,6,9,20,21	50:8 53:1 54:5	64:19	2:9,11,13,15	Commencing
7:22 8:2,20,22	54:16,21 55:1	categories 38:8	19:7,15,16	1:8
8:22 9:9,9,16	55:5,8 56:13	category 38:6	22:12 25:20	comment 35:19
9:21,25 10:3,4	57:2,10,11,14	cause 53:2	35:3,16 36:19	39:18,21
10:8,12 11:6	57:15 58:4	cellar 16:24 31:7	37:7 38:7	comments 9:8
11:12,18 12:15	59:6 62:8,20	<b>CERT</b> 67:20	39:19 40:8	19:18 35:7,11
13:2 15:8	62:21	certain 23:22	47:1,13,25	35:16
18:23 33:23,23	building's 39:13	Certified 1:22	48:17 50:20	Commissioner
47:9 56:10	buildings 50:9	1:24 67:6,19	55:10 62:20	1:10,11,11,12
57:20 58:12,14	<b>built</b> 60:12	<b>certify</b> 67:7,11	64:7,20	58:19,22 61:12
58:15,15,17	<b>bulk</b> 16:18	<b>Chair</b> 64:18	civil 12:14,16	62:15 64:18,21
59:11 60:16	business 4:2	65:3,3	17:16 18:25	64:24 65:5,6,7
61:14 63:2	<u>C</u>	Chairman 1:10	21:17,23 22:6	65:8,9,10,11
<b>board's</b> 8:6 11:2		4:1,23 5:5,8,17	30:6,12 31:11	65:12,13,25
11:10,16 58:10	C 1:13 67:1,1	5:19 7:7,13,14	32:9 33:9	commissioners
boardroom	C-801 37:19	7:19 8:16,25	34:19	5:3 61:11
62:19,22	C-802 30:12,19	9:1 10:14,19	clarify 10:20,24	communicatio
body 6:16,18,20	C-811 17:16	11:15,24 12:21	classification	64:12
6:20 7:4,11,11	cable 19:12	12:25 13:8,14	26:25	community
boring 26:25	<b>calculation</b> 25:16,23,25	13:19 15:18	classified 40:25	51:13
29:11,18,20	41:9	19:21 20:12	clear 7:20 29:5	complete 25:20
42:10,14	calculations	21:4,9 41:16	59:24	completed 55:8
borings 29:25	37:6 43:12,12	42:8,12,19,23	client 6:11	completely 59:1
41:21 52:23	43:21	43:5 44:5,16	client's 53:16	61:13
<b>bottom</b> 17:17	calendar 63:2	44:18,24 45:16	cliff 6:2 10:6	compliance
41:20	call 44:25 45:2	46:7,14,19	18:2,3,3,5,5,23	23:12 25:19
boulders 42:1	camera 45:9	47:6 50:3 52:1	33:11,13,18	27:25 36:5
bound 7:2,12	Cameron 2:6	52:4 53:3,9,21	49:6 50:6 51:5	compliant 16:19
11:19 33:24	62:11	54:1 55:11,20	51:7,11 52:20 60:14	17:10,11 19:7 19:15 27:24
break 44:17,19 Brian 3:3 12:17	cantilever 21:1	56:1,5,16,20 58:17,20,23	closely 54:15	complied 25:24
13:12,24 14:3	21:1	59:12,15,20,23	closest 14:15	complies 40:3
43:3,10	cantilevered	61:6,10,21	18:14	comples 40.3
Brian's 4:9	18:16	62:3,6,9 63:18	cobble 42:1	37:7
brief 44:22	capable 56:24	64:2,13,16,22	coding 17:19	component 37:5
briefly 17:14	capacity 24:20	64:25 65:14,15	color 17:19	components
Jileny 17.17		01.23 03.17,13	( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( (	components
	I	I	I	I

				- )
23:25 25:2	3:1	create 39:2,5	day 11:11 35:21	determination
computer 45:7	context 14:11	creation 38:23	days 61:5,5,20	6:8,13,15,17
concern 58:18	continuations	38:24,25	dead 34:16	7:4,6 8:2,7,23
58:21	4:20	cross 12:24	deal 48:25	10:13 11:17,19
concerned 40:17	continued 62:25	18:14	dealing 60:13	11:20 18:4
59:3	63:6	Cross-Examin	dealt 33:22	determine 29:22
concerns 59:4	control 30:6,11	3:5 21:10	Dec 67:20	30:4 42:20
concert 65:22	32:15	cross-examine	decides 8:9	determined
conclude 42:13	coordinate	21:7	decision 6:1,20	26:13 29:23
concluded 66:5	36:19	cross-section	7:2,12,20 9:8	33:14,23 54:21
concludes 19:20	copy 64:11	17:18	9:11,14 10:3	development
conclusion 10:5	core 29:16,21	crosses 36:11	11:16	19:8 31:19,21
11:12 27:2	41:25 42:4	crossing 36:11	deemed 10:2	32:1 37:4,9,11
concrete 17:5,6	51:23	crosswalk 17:9	25:20	37:14,24 38:6
concur 9:7	coring 53:19,22	35:13,15,17,20	deep 31:2	38:9,11,19,20
condition 15:3	correct 7:23,25	35:24 36:2,6,9	defer 41:12	38:23 40:2
36:3,23 49:8	9:15 14:19	36:10,15,17	58:15	41:1 51:17
conditions 15:9	21:2,25 23:6,7	Cruz 1:11 65:6,7	<b>define</b> 41:25	52:21
22:11 35:18	23:14,15,24	65:8,9	defined 17:22	diabase 49:4
43:18 50:23	24:10,15 38:2	crystal 7:20	18:6	51:2 55:10
55:4,9 57:7	42:17 43:19,22	curb 16:16 17:6	degree 45:24,25	dictate 30:10
confirmed 18:4	54:11,24 55:16	20:5,7 35:12	46:1	difference 22:5
conflict 36:4,13	58:17 59:13	35:25 36:12	delay 9:24	52:9 54:2
40:13	63:1 64:23	current 13:16	delivered 47:8	different 11:9,9
conjunction	correctly 8:19	46:17	denial 7:22	34:11 38:20
54:20	counsel 4:21,22	currently 8:2	denote 42:18	55:9,12,21
Connell 1:15 4:6	5:6,7,18 7:25	20:11 35:20	depending 8:9	56:10
Conrail 50:7	9:2,4 10:15	48:23	9:14	direct 3:4,7
55:18	11:15,24 12:22	customarily	depicted 48:5	13:22 26:10
conservation	13:9 15:19	26:18	depiction 3:19	29:1 40:12,22
30:25 38:11,14	33:21 34:4	cut 16:16 31:10	depth 24:20	46:22
consider 60:18	41:17 43:7	31:11,23 32:19		direction 15:11
considerably	44:6,24 50:3	48:20	29:12,18 42:1	directions 19:3
55:12,21	67:12,14	Cynthia 1:17	42:7,11 43:16	directly 58:5
considered	counted 37:23	5:3	51:24 53:25	Director 2:2
38:23	County 8:6	Cynthia's 28:5	57:21,23	discharge 19:9
constraint 18:10	couple 17:13		describe 14:9	discussion 35:9
constraints	24:7	D	described 18:25	disposal 29:6
17:15,24	court 6:22,23	<b>D</b> 13:10 45:12	describing 20:18	distance 20:18
constructed	7:10 8:3,5,9,11	da 2:12	description 3:14	distinction
49:2	8:17 9:12	data 24:24 31:19	24:17 25:18	11:11
constructing	10:21 28:12	32:1 57:15	26:23,24 43:13	district 10:10
49:11	67:6,19	62:17	design 22:13,20	15:24,25 23:14
construction	courtesy 10:17	date 4:20 5:11	26:9,17 27:3	31:1 38:12,14
31:22,23 32:12	courthouse	62:2,12,18,25	57:11,14,15	58:11
32:16,18	49:20	67:10,20	designed 59:17	disturbance
contain 25:12	courtroom	dated 3:16	Designer 2:10	30:14,21 37:3
contained 11:7	40:18	dates 63:3	designing 22:8	37:10,12,15,17
CONTENTS	covering 49:4	<b>DAVID</b> 1:11	detail 31:9	37:20 38:13,21
1				

<b>Division</b> 2:3,5,7	1:10	establishing	extend 10:16	32:19
2:9,11,13,15	eight-story 12:7	17:14	extend 10.10 extends 14:13	final 4:3
doing 21:13 48:7	16:14 54:5	evaluate 22:10	extends 14.13 extensive 53:19	financially
49:15 53:18	56:13		extensive 33.19 extent 31:23	67:15
dot 47:22	either 7:25 62:3	evaluating 18:1 18:23	32:16	find 56:25 57:3
dots 47:13 48:6	electric 19:11	evening 4:21 5:2	exterior 22:9	57:17 59:8,9
doubt 56:7	elevated 4:24	5:5,12 8:12,14	exterior 22.9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
57:17		13:15 21:12	$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$	finding 40:4,4
	elevation 19:2,4	34:6 45:17	F 67:1	<b>findings</b> 11:7,10 11:13 25:14
downloaded 62:17	elevations 34:18 ELIZABETH		face 6:3 10:6	
	2:10	everybody 5:20 5:24 63:4,10	18:3 49:13	27:13,18 41:21 42:22
downloading 62:16	-	65:18	51:19	firm 46:6 48:3,7
draw 11:11	employed 48:2	evident 52:21	faces 60:14	53:17
	<b>employee</b> 67:12 67:14	exact 36:19	facing 35:13	first 4:11 16:23
drawing 20:17 drilled 57:2		41:13	fact 36:20	18:1,17 21:15
	encountered 41:22		factor 41:6	· /
<b>drilling</b> 29:16,22 41:25 42:4		exactly 57:5	factors 8:12	45:14,19 61:8 62:12
driven 19:25	engineer 12:14 12:17 13:16	Examination	factually 8:1	62:12 <b>fitter</b> 58:25
		3:4,7 13:22 43:8 46:22	falling 51:20	
driveway 16:5	21:16,17,24	examine 12:24	familiar 14:24	five 29:24 42:11 52:23
16:15,21 20:6	22:2,4,6,6,10	examine 12:24 excavated 31:8	51:11 59:1	flat 19:1 30:8
20:20 35:12,13	22:14,19,19 26:8 43:2	excavation	famous 51:12	49:9 55:23
35:21,22 36:1	44:13 45:19	19:25 31:5,22	Fantin 49:23	
36:9,14,17,22 37:5	46:3 48:4	32:7,9	far 7:3 36:16	flip 16:7 20:4 floor 12:1 16:23
drone 14:21,22		exceed 38:1	39:21 40:17,22	18:17 44:9
15:5	53:17 54:12,16 54:20 58:25	exceeds 38:13	59:3	floors 18:17
drop 49:10	engineer's 23:5	excited 45:20	February 9:17	Foley 1:15 4:6
55:15,19,25	34:24	63:9 65:24	35:1	follow 12:19
drops 51:8	engineering	exhibit 5:15	<b>fed</b> 19:12	41:18
drove 50:13	19:17 24:25	13:6 15:16	feedback 39:24	follows 13:11
duly 13:11 45:12	33:2 35:3,16	16:11 47:10,18	feel 22:7 36:22	45:13
duly 13.11 +3.12	36:19 45:24	exhibits 14:5	46:15 65:19	<b>foot</b> 41:22,22,23
$\overline{\mathbf{E}}$	46:5,9,10,12	exist 53:25	feels 22:21	41:23
E 1:13,13 2:1,1	48:8	existing 14:2	feet 16:17,22	footing 58:5
3:13 13:10,10	engineers 23:9	15:3,9 16:3	18:15,20 20:21	footprint 16:2
45:12 67:1,1	entertain 64:9	17:2 19:4,12	29:13,14 35:14	18:19
earlier 33:10	64:13,17	20:9 36:10,24	36:1 37:3,10	foregoing 67:7
early 9:23 24:23	entire 63:23	52:15,20	37:18,20 38:4	forgot 46:1
34:13	envelope 20:1	expecting 39:23	38:13,22,25	form 24:13 25:1
earned 45:25	environmenta	expense 57:23	39:2,7 51:4,8	32:23,25 33:12
46:1	41:7	experience 22:7	51:21 52:25	34:12,21,23
<b>Earth</b> 47:12	erosion 24:20	39:19 40:7	56:23,23,24	43:24
easier 47:24	30:3,4,5,10	47:1 50:20	57:7,7,8 58:3,3	formation 49:5
east 17:1 20:6	32:15 43:15	52:5 54:10	60:14	49:5,10 50:25
30:10 34:15	ESPINOZA 2:8	experienced	<b>felt</b> 65:21	55:4,10
<b>edge</b> 20:5	ESQUIRE 1:14	61:15	<b>filed</b> 5:25 6:4,12	formations
educational	1:16,17	experts 61:19	8:5,19	48:24
45:21	essentially 59:8	explain 32:22	<b>filing</b> 26:18	forth 12:11
EDWARDO	established 42:7	exposed 18:6	<b>fill</b> 31:10,11,23	40:14 67:10
		'		
	ı	ı	ı	I

forward 8:21	give 14:11 46:25	23:19 26:20	hear 12:16	imported 11:8
46:16 54:22	50:21,22 53:22	guided 7:4	40:14 41:1	improvement
59:19	62:11	guys 51:11	60:2,16	36:23
<b>found</b> 52:18	given 7:17 40:21		heard 6:4,5 8:20	improvements
foundation	46:15	Н	8:22 18:22	17:4
22:13 27:3	giving 29:2	H 3:13	hearing 4:20	inaccessible
foundations	go 7:11 18:21	Hadjiyannis	5:11 9:10,21	34:15
57:4	24:6 27:17	1:17 3:5 4:24	11:9,22 53:5	include 24:25
four 33:4	40:22 41:24	5:2,3,25 6:11	63:16 66:5	31:20 43:14
fractured 51:2	43:7 45:20	7:15 8:4,8	heavy 26:6,12	included 24:21
<b>FRANCISCO</b>	47:20 51:5	10:16,19 11:23	height 16:20	25:14 26:4,16
2:8	54:17 55:6	12:23 21:6,8	held 9:20	32:2 34:25
<b>front</b> 47:23	goes 20:2 22:13	21:11,19,22	helps 28:2	including 53:19
frontage 17:6	52:12 63:21	22:25 23:3	hereinbefore	incorrectly
full 16:3,4 26:3	64:10	28:7,15,18	67:10	39:22
26:16	<b>going</b> 5:13 7:5	29:1 33:19,25	high 33:6	incurred 57:22
<b>fully</b> 17:10	13:1 14:5 17:2	34:5,8,9 40:11	hill 51:21 52:13	incurring 30:13
further 4:16,17	17:14 18:24	40:16,21 41:4	hired 53:15,17	30:21
11:21 35:9	19:24,25 26:20	64:11	54:12	independently
41:24 54:7	27:19 28:25	Hadjiyannis's	historic 50:6	54:19
60:3 62:24	31:3,6 33:20	8:10	52:16	indicate 29:18
63:3,12 64:6	33:25 36:17	half 29:14	historically 50:7	information
67:11	38:18 39:13	handle 59:6	51:8	23:22 25:12
	40:9,13,16,24	handling 10:21	<b>hold</b> 45:23 49:17	26:11 54:4
<u>G</u>	40:24 45:2	happen 61:18	Holloway 62:20	56:9 60:16,18
gain 34:17	46:16 47:11,23	64:3	62:21	61:5,19,23
Gangadin 1:11	56:1,18 57:1,4	happens 8:11,13	honest 39:17	initial 9:24
58:19,22 64:18	57:8,10,11	Harrington 1:16	52:7	26:18
64:24 65:4,5	61:1,4,4,18	3:4,7 4:5,6	housekeeping	initially 4:19
65:25	63:15	7:13,15 8:21	5:20	ins 59:1
gas 19:12	good 4:21 5:2,5	9:3,6 12:1,3	Housing 15:24	installed 36:13
gathering 59:3	13:14 15:14	13:23 14:12	<b>HUANG</b> 2:14	instruction 7:16
general 26:2,23	21:12,14 45:16	22:21,23 28:3	Hudson 8:6	intention 12:16
26:24 33:3	66:1	28:23 33:17	hundred 48:14	interested 1:18
gentle 17:20	Google 14:21	34:7 40:6	<b>hype</b> 66:3	5:1 67:15
60:14	47:12	42:24 43:1,9		interior 39:10
gentleman 51:23	gotten 39:18,21	44:4,9,11 45:1	1	interpret 11:13
geotech 22:10	<b>grade</b> 18:19	45:4,9 46:23	identification	interpretation
26:3 27:17	29:13	47:14 48:19	5:16 13:7	9:19 10:9
29:9 44:13	grades 19:4 20:9	54:6,18 55:2	15:17 16:12	interpreted 11:5
46:12 56:3	grading 18:24	55:14 59:25	47:19	39:20
geotechnical	20:4 31:19,21	60:1 61:1,3,7	III 1:16	intersection
22:1,3,6,14,19	32:1,5,8	61:10,16,25	image 14:21,23	36:7
23:8 25:14	gray 18:18	62:4,7,23	impermeable 38:5	introduce 7:14
26:8 43:1 44:13 45:19,24	greater 17:23	63:18,25 64:10 hatch 18:14		invalid 7:23
,	green 16:25		impervious 38:5	investigated
46:5,9 48:7	17:19 49:3	hate 63:22 head 62:2	39:1,3,8,13	52:17
53:14,17 54:12 <b>getting</b> 28:5	grocery 15:22	head 62:2 heal 7:5	important 6:16 59:21	investigation
getting 20.3	guess 11:4 23:1	neai 1.3	J7.41	50:14 53:14,19

Page 73

involve 48:23	45:16,23 46:8	laboling 47:24	4:8 13:12,12	long 60:20 63:20
involve 48:23	46:11,18,21,24	labeling 47:24 land 24:13 25:1	13:14,18,21	0
			f f	longer 63:9
involvement	47:6,11,20	32:22,25 33:12 34:11,21,23	14:19,22 15:1	look 14:5 29:6
53:16	48:1,3,9,10,12	l ' '	15:4,7,12	55:6,7
irregularly	48:15,18,20	43:24	16:10 19:22	looking 14:10
14:12	50:11 52:1,3	landing 52:13	20:3,15,16,20	15:11 17:18
issue 7:8,8 10:11	52:12 53:8,13	52:18	20:23 21:2,5	20:17 23:1
49:12	53:23 54:7,11	landscaping	21:12,20 41:15	28:24 29:7
item 4:2 32:19	54:25 55:3,13	19:14	41:19 42:5,10	41:19 58:12
items 25:9	55:17,22,23	Langston 1:10	42:17,21 44:8	60:4
iterations 34:11	56:15,18,22	4:1 5:5,17 7:19	56:6	looks 48:10
J	57:13 58:2,8,9	9:1 10:14	life 61:15	lot 1:5 14:13
	59:14	11:15,24 12:21	lighting 19:14	15:23 16:4,4,4
J 1:16 45:12,12	<b>Jundi's</b> 59:7	13:8,14,19	likes 28:6	35:23 36:24
J-U-N-D-I 45:15	<b>JZN</b> 48:3	15:18 19:21	limit 37:20	40:22 48:11
Jackson 62:20	K	20:12 21:4,9	60:25	56:13
62:21 63:7,10		41:16 42:8,12	line 16:18 20:19	low 33:7
63:16 64:15,20	K 13:10	42:19,23 43:5	51:24 53:24	
January 9:17	keep 26:20	44:5,18,24	lines 49:7	$\frac{\mathbf{M}}{\mathbf{M}}$
61:2,8 62:13	killing 4:8	45:16 46:7,14	Lipski 1:12	M 45:12
62:15,18,21	kind 8:15 20:1	46:19 47:6	64:21 65:10,11	major 4:3 37:11
63:6,16,21	21:16 27:14	50:3 52:1,4	listed 4:14 9:16	38:6,10,19,20
64:5,14,19	49:6 53:20	53:3,9,21 54:1	23:23	38:23 40:25
65:1	know 4:25 9:13	55:11,20 56:5	listing 9:24	man 66:3
JCMUA 39:18	9:25 10:2	56:16,20 58:20	little 5:20 12:18	management
JCRA 62:8	11:17,18,21	58:23 59:20	60:6	36:25 41:6
<b>Jersey</b> 1:1 13:17	21:21 26:6,17	61:6,10,21	live 10:22 63:17	<b>manhole</b> 19:9,11
19:7 22:12	27:2 28:5 30:6	62:3,6,9 63:18	64:15	map 3:19 24:10
38:7 39:19	30:8 31:2,5	64:2,16,22,25	<b>LLC</b> 1:4	47:12
45:22 46:3,13	36:20,22 40:2	65:14,15,17,23	<b>LLP</b> 1:15	MARIONE 2:2
47:1,13,25	47:7 48:23,24	66:2	load 26:12	mark 4:22 5:14
48:17 50:20	50:19 51:10	larger 21:21	load-bearing	13:2 14:4,8
62:20 64:20	52:4,5,7,8 53:4	law 53:6	24:19 25:4,13	47:9,14
67:7,19	54:2,3,15 56:6	leaves 60:3	25:17,25 26:12	marked 4:12
<b>job</b> 49:15,17	56:8,10,11	leeway 29:2	43:15	5:15 13:6
55:7 57:6	57:20,25 58:23	legal 53:5	loan 18:13	15:16 16:11
Johnson 23:5	59:1,2,4,5,7,10	let's 4:1,24 14:8	located 16:15	47:17,18 51:6
25:15 29:11	59:16,17,21	41:2 44:18,25	33:5 35:12	marking 16:9
41:20 50:16,21	60:2,8,12,13	47:14 50:10	36:21	markups 3:17
51:22 52:23	60:15,19,22,23	letter 19:16	location 31:20	mass 52:10
53:15	60:24,24 61:14	39:18 53:5	35:17 36:20	master's 45:23
Journal 49:12	61:22,25 62:4	liberty 40:22	62:12,19,25	45:25
60:12	63:19,19,19,21	license 13:16	locations 29:24	<b>MATT</b> 2:4
<b>July</b> 9:21	63:23 64:2,4,6	46:10,12,16	42:11	matter 1:2 5:1
jump 12:12 52:2	knowing 57:19	licensed 46:2	logs 27:1 29:11	8:20 57:8 63:5
54:6		licenses 46:8	29:18,20 42:10	64:14
<b>Jundi</b> 3:6,20	L	licensing 45:22	42:15	MATTHEW
44:14 45:2,2,8	L 2:1 13:10	Lichuan 35:19	Lombardozzi	2:12
45:10,11,14,14	L-I-E-B-E-S	Liebeskind 3:3	1:22 67:5,19	mean 24:9 27:19
	13:13			
	<u> </u>	ı	<u> </u>	ı

28:1,11,11	64:14,17,19	normally 24:2	16:18 17:7	order 5:13 8:17
55:3,17 56:5	65:1,16	north 52:6	19:9 36:11	ordinance 11:13
58:2 61:21	<b>Mountain</b> 14:13	Notary 67:5	52:11 63:15	17:22 23:17
meaning 8:3	51:14,16	note 4:12 10:4,7	Oh 63:25	27:22 37:7,9
measurement	mouth 61:17	30:13,20,25,25	Okay 4:5 7:19	38:7,8,17
28:17	move 4:1 8:21	60:8	9:1 10:14 12:1	39:20 40:3
	33:21 34:3	noted 9:10 12:10	12:3,21 13:8	outline 16:1
measurements 41:13	36:1 41:2 43:3	14:12 15:23	13:19,24 14:1	outlined 27:16
		17:10 42:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
measures 30:11	50:4,10 54:22 57:5		14:8 20:12	outs 59:2
30:15,15,22		notice 3:15 5:10	21:6,18,23	outside 22:11
mechanical	moved 9:18	5:13 62:24	22:1,25 23:2	29:1 40:11
16:23	moving 36:8,9	63:3,12,13,14	23:11,19 24:6	42:19
Medical 15:21	59:19	63:14,17 64:5	24:13,16 25:3	overhang 18:15
meeting 47:9	MUA 39:24 40:5	64:23	26:6,22 27:4,7	overlay 10:10
60:20,22 61:2	Multi-Family	noticed 4:19	27:14 28:8	15:25 17:7
61:8,13 62:12	15:23	5:10	29:15 30:1	23:14 59:2
62:13 63:2,6	multiple 29:24	notices 4:11,15	31:2,5,13 32:4	overruled 34:3
64:15,20	N	4:18 61:9	32:12,22 33:19	oversized 12:6
MEMBERS 1:9		November 1:7	34:8,21 35:3	overturned 7:21
memorialized	N 1:13 2:1 13:10	4:17	35:11 36:8,16	P
6:8 13:4	13:10 45:12,12	nuanced 5:23	37:15,25 38:3	
memory 8:18	N-E-J-M 45:15	<b>number</b> 6:9 39:9	38:16 39:23	P 1:13,13 2:1
31:17	N.J.S.A 6:10		41:5,10,14	<b>p.m</b> 1:8 66:6
mentioned	name 3:10 4:9	0	42:19,23 43:24	<b>P.P</b> 2:2,4,6,10
36:14	45:14,15,15	O 2:1	44:3,5,9,11	P20-140 4:3
methodology	nature 6:25	o'clock 44:19,19	45:1 46:7,14	P22-140 1:3
30:4	near 62:8	Oakland 50:1	46:19,24 48:20	63:15 64:19
Michael 1:22	near-vertical	55:7	49:19 50:10,16	package 14:7
67:5,19	18:6	object 28:3	50:19 53:21	17:16 32:11
micro 57:2	necessary 30:16	33:17 34:1	55:2 56:19	page 3:2,10,14
micropiles 51:15	41:25 57:14,15	40:6	58:9 64:16,25	15:13 41:20
52:14 57:2	need 5:21 14:4	objection 33:21	65:17	Palisade 10:10
58:6	18:21 29:21	40:10	<b>old</b> 50:6	Palisades 15:25
Mid-Rise 15:24	36:18 43:20	obviously 6:17	on-site 12:8	17:3 23:13
<b>Mike</b> 44:19	47:9 50:4 64:8	7:17 11:16	37:13,17 53:10	33:6 50:24
mild 17:21	needed 6:2	12:23 19:24	once 66:3	59:2
mill 17:7	neighborhood	58:23 61:22	one-to-one	parking 12:9
million 57:9,9	5:4 6:12 10:23	64:10	17:18	16:4,14,21,22
<b>Milton</b> 49:23	10:23	occurred 9:19	one-way 36:21	35:23 36:24
mind 43:7 49:25	neither 67:11,13	<b>October</b> 4:16,16	ones 4:19 60:12	<b>part</b> 10:6 14:6
<b>minimum</b> 18:1,8	Nejm 3:6 44:13	off-site 37:22	online 11:4	15:8 18:25
35:14	45:2,14	<b>office</b> 19:17 35:9	openings 35:22	22:12 23:17
minor 19:8 37:4	new 4:1 13:17	62:8	operates 36:24	24:11,22 25:7
37:8,14 38:9	17:5,6 19:9	officer 6:15 18:4	operations	25:9 26:4,16
minutes 17:13	24:24 36:12,13	officer's 6:1,13	31:10,24 32:20	26:18 27:8,9
misstatement	45:22 46:3,4	7:4 8:23 11:17	opinion 50:22	27:20,20,21
8:1	46:12 49:20	<b>offset</b> 18:13	<b>OPPER</b> 2:10	30:2,6 31:24
months 15:6	67:6,19	<b>Ogden</b> 1:5 4:4	opportunity 5:8	32:2,8,10,14
<b>motion</b> 64:8,9	night 63:24 64:4	14:11,16 16:16	orange 18:13	32:21 33:2,9
	I	I	I	I

				rage 75
34:25 36:5	pipe 19:10 58:25	11:5 15:25	24:16 26:19	proposing 17:8
39:12,25 40:19	place 7:15,18	17:12,24 23:12	27:21 31:14,18	Protection 23:13
41:8 42:2	36:7,11 62:19	23:22 25:2,19	43:11	provide 16:24
50:17 54:9	67:10	25:24 26:15	procedures	36:14 57:21
57:24 60:6	plaintiffs 10:22	27:22 31:14,18	31:21 32:4,7	58:13 60:10
particular 5:22	plan 3:18 4:4	33:5 42:7	proceed 6:25	provided 32:6
11:6 30:3	16:8 17:16,17	58:11 60:6,11	12:4 60:17	32:14 34:25
parties 10:11	18:24 20:4	PPOD's 24:4	proceeding 6:24	35:25
67:13	21:20 23:24	practice 22:3	8:18 11:8	provisions 17:25
party 1:18 5:1	24:1 30:6,17	practicing 46:4	44:23	33:4 42:6
patio 20:7,19	31:9 32:15,17	precedential	Proceedings 1:5	public 3:9,11
pause 44:22	36:25 37:19	11:4	process 27:12	5:25 63:4,16
pause 44.22 paver 20:5,19	41:6 47:17	PRECISION	40:1	63:23 67:5
paving 37:4	54:9,23,23	1:24	professional	publication 5:9
pay 58:1	60:9	prefer 41:12	46:2	pull 14:1 27:5
PC 48:4	<b>planned</b> 26:4	preliminary 4:3	professionals	27:13,17 28:1
pedestrian 17:8	Planner 2:4,6,8	prepared 29:11	12:15	28:20 38:18
35:13,15 36:15	2:12,14	30:20 44:1	project 10:7	purposes 5:14
	planning 1:1 2:2		12:5,6,20	13:3 15:15
pending 6:22 8:20	2:3,5,7,9,11,13	preparing 34:10 prerogative 8:5	14:15 16:13	39:15 41:1
	2:15 4:14	presence 18:23	17:5,10 18:9	put 9:4,20 10:17
people 10:22 percent 17:20	25:20 63:22	present 1:9 18:5	19:1,6,15 22:9	38:5 40:14
17:21,21,23	64:3,7	18:7 35:21	22:15,20 37:1	45:9 52:17
19:3	plans 24:24	47:12	37:2 38:6,15	57:1 59:4
	30:12,19 31:11	· ·	38:24 39:1	
performed 29:24	31:25 32:2,9	presentation 11:10 12:7	44:1 48:6 49:6	<b>puts</b> 37:13 <b>putting</b> 54:5
permeable 37:4	32:21 33:9	18:22	50:5 53:18	55:5
permeable 37.4 permit 38:12	34:11,13,19	presented 7:1	54:16,23 61:23	33.3
permitting	35:4 37:16	-	project-specific	0
39:25 54:9,14	planted 16:25	presenting 47:7 preservation	30:24	qualifications
perpendicular	planted 10.23	4:15 5:12	projects 33:5,7	37:12
16:22	play 8.13 please 34:3 41:3	10:10 15:25	40:8 47:13,25	qualified 13:15
person 62:5 63:7	44:25 53:4	64:23	48:22 52:5	13:20 45:17,18
63:8,17 64:15	58:17 59:13,14		promote 44:14	46:20
65:18	pleasure 13:15	preserve 61:8 preserved 50:7	promoting	qualifies 37:3
perspective	point 15:11	preserving 64:5	65:21	quasi-judicial
54:14	18:15 42:14	pretty 65:25	proof 5:9	6:20
pertain 33:5,7	52:9	pretty 03.23 prevail 9:12	<b>properly</b> 7:8,10	question 19:23
PF 1:4	Port 49:7	prevails 8:8	properties 55:16	20:10 25:22
phasing 31:23	portal 11:4 13:1	previously 4:13	property 10:6	26:21 28:2,9
32:16	62:17	34:24	16:3,18 20:19	28:14,16,21,22
<b>photo</b> 3:17	<b>portion</b> 31:7	primarily 22:12	20:21 56:12	28:25 29:4,4
photo 3.17 photograph	position 11:2	prior 47:9 53:15	proposal 12:7	33:22 34:3
14:18	58:7,10	54:8 56:9 61:5	proposed 12:13	40:23 41:3
physical 24:17	possible 8:9,10	probably 4:8	16:5 19:1	42:5 56:12,13
43:13	42:15,18 45:6	problem 57:18	20:11 34:15	56:15,19,21
piece 14:15	potential 24:20	57:19	35:12 39:7	59:5
piles 19:25 57:2	30:3,4 43:16	procedural	41:11 57:16	questions 19:19
57:3	<b>PPOD</b> 10:9,9	23:16,21 24:4	proposes 17:5	20:14 21:5
37.3	1100 10.3,3	23.10,21 24.4	proposes 17.5	
	I	l		l

	I	I	I	I
24:7 27:24	reflects 15:9	represents 5:1	21:4 22:23	says 30:20 31:18
28:4 40:20	refresh 31:17	16:2 17:19	23:2 41:14,16	38:18,19,22
41:15 43:3	refusal 41:22	request 9:19	43:23 44:24	41:24 42:9
44:7,12 56:17	42:1 51:24	require 23:22	49:17 50:7,10	43:13 53:6
quick 52:2 62:17	refused 52:24	30:14,21 35:8	51:7 52:13,22	schedule 61:25
	regard 29:2 47:2	required 6:6	61:16 65:23	scope 40:12 42:2
R	regarding 7:16	23:20 24:2,4	right-of-way	42:20 57:25
<b>R</b> 1:13 2:1 13:10	9:8 19:23	31:6 62:24	37:22	screen 21:21
67:1	regardless 52:24	63:3	Riverside 15:21	23:2 47:4,8,21
<b>R-3</b> 12:5 15:23	54:23	requirement	Riverview 5:4	48:6 59:15
16:19 17:11	regulated 39:1,3	58:12	6:12 8:4 10:23	63:5
rail 49:7	39:13	requirements	road 14:13	second 4:22
ramp 35:13,25	regulation 31:18	16:19,20 17:11	51:14,16 53:18	64:21 65:1,20
ramps 36:12	regulations 10:9	19:15 31:13	54:8	secure 54:16
range 37:13	19:7 23:13,17	33:10 40:3	rock 29:12,16,21	sediment 30:5
re-striped 17:9	23:22 24:4,17	requires 38:12	41:24 42:3,11	32:15
reach 51:15	25:2,19,24	residential 12:8	42:16,18 48:24	see 4:7 12:6 16:3
reached 11:12	26:20 27:21	52:20	49:4,10,13	18:12 21:20
read 25:5 28:12	31:14,19 43:11	resolution 3:16	50:25,25 51:6	42:15 47:23,24
42:13 60:3	relates 49:13	6:9 9:22 10:5	51:16,19,20,21	49:3,6 51:6
reading 23:20	relative 67:12	11:3,7,14 13:2	51:23,25 52:6	53:6 55:18,18
real 52:2 61:15	67:14	13:3 36:5	52:14,18,19,25	56:3,3 60:4
62:17	relatively 19:1	respect 5:21 6:1	53:1,19,22,24	63:5,9 65:18
realized 61:17	30:8	respectfully	55:4,9,10,24	seeing 56:11
really 8:14 9:13	relocated 35:14	57:12 60:21	56:4,23 57:3,3	seen 8:17
12:10 26:15	remiss 5:19	responded 32:20	57:5,7 58:3,4,5	sell 65:19
rear 19:24 20:19	Remote 1:7	responsible	58:6 59:8	<b>Senior</b> 2:6,8,12
20:22,23	remove 47:23	23:12	roll 65:2	sensitive 41:7
recall 27:11	replace 39:2,6	rest 59:4 61:14	<b>Route</b> 49:20	Separately
28:11,13 31:15	replacement	restriping 36:6	run 19:10	38:11
49:24 62:1	38:25	resubmission		September 4:14
receive 39:23	report 23:5,5	35:1	S	4:19 5:11 9:23
40:4	24:25 25:15	resubmitted	<b>S</b> 1:13 2:1,1 3:13	13:4 63:13
received 19:16	27:17 28:2,20	34:19	13:10	sequence 32:18
receiving 28:13	28:25 29:9,15	result 43:25	safe 36:23	serves 8:18
recharge 37:5	29:21 33:2	results 38:24	sanitary 19:8	service 1:24
recollection 8:24	34:24 41:19	retained 51:18	<b>Santo</b> 1:14 7:19	5:10
record 4:6,12,13	43:17,21 50:17	retaining 20:1	58:24 64:8	set 12:11 16:17
4:18,25 5:14	50:21 51:22	20:11 52:16,16	65:19 66:3	18:25 30:6
7:16 9:5,7	53:6,15	review 5:9 19:16	satisfaction	36:5 52:9
10:18,25 12:12	reporter 1:22	23:16 35:3	58:16	67:10
13:3 14:9	28:12 67:6,19	50:16	satisfied 42:6	setback 6:3 18:1
15:15 28:4	REPORTERS	reviewed 4:12	satisfy 19:18	18:8,11 33:10
red 16:1 48:6	1:24	38:16	savings 18:13	setbacks 16:20
REDIRECT	REPORTING	revised 24:24,25	saw 24:9	shaded 18:12
43:8	1:24	34:13,19	saying 26:22	shallow 51:7
referring 30:18	reports 27:6	right 5:17 6:24	28:19 36:8	52:19 57:4
36:4	representation	10:2 15:18	37:25 60:2	58:5
reflect 34:14	4:25 15:3	19:21 20:4,14	64:11	shaped 14:12

share 47:4,21	18:7 19:1,24	53:15	standards 16:21	24:10,11 25:8
sharing 59:14	22:8 23:24	<b>solution</b> 57:17	standards 10.21 standby 12:15	25:19 27:9
sharing 33.14 sharp 49:10	24:2,18,18	somewhat 5:23	start 9:3 10:18	29:8 31:24
sheer 55:15,19	26:2 29:25	sorry 30:17 45:8	14:1	33:16 35:2
sheet 30:12,19	30:19 31:19	49:21	started 27:11	43:18
32:18 37:19	32:1,8 33:4	sounds 59:13,15	46:6	substantive
sheets 14:5	35:21 39:10,12	south 16:15	starting 29:12	28:19
shifted 35:24	43:13,14 44:12	19:10 20:21	63:8	subsurface
Shorthand 1:22	44:15 46:25	35:24	state 11:1 13:17	22:10 27:14
1:24	47:17 49:6,19	southbound	37:9 43:20	summary 24:18
show 29:12	50:11,12,15,20	36:21	45:22 46:2,3	25:3,7,10 27:8
34:20 36:6	50:23 52:6	southwest 14:10	46:12 67:6,19	30:2 43:14,18
42:8,10	53:12,14,16	15:12	stated 51:23	Summit 49:1,17
showed 24:14	54:9,13,22,23	spaces 12:9	53:25	55:6
25:17	55:6,21 59:5	16:15,25	statement 7:16	sunk 52:14
showing 17:16	60:9	speak 14:3	9:4 10:17	Superior 6:21
27:1 37:6	sites 3:20 47:3	22:20 26:9	states 46:4	6:23 7:10 8:3,5
47:13 48:6	48:6	31:4 42:21	statute 6:7	8:11,17 9:12
shown 16:25	situation 59:22	44:14 48:21	statute 0.7 statutorily 64:4	10:21
18:18 32:17	six 15:6	49:3	statutor ny 04.4 stay 6:23	Supervising 2:4
33:11,15 37:16	size 59:6	speaks 26:15	stay 0.23 staying 8:17	support 54:17
37:20	slice 57:9	special 30:10	staying 8.17 steep 17:15,22	55:1 56:13
shows 41:21	slightly 35:18	special 50.10 specialty 45:24	18:11,12,16,20	57:10
42:15	36:12	specific 27:24	20:24 24:1	supported 51:14
sic 10:24 56:25	slope 17:2,15,21	43:21 46:8,11	30:13,20 33:8	52:14 54:21
side 16:20 17:18	18:6,16,20	50:11 58:12	stenographica	57:16
20:6 33:6,7	19:4 20:8,9,24	62:25	67:9	
sidewalk 17:5	24:1 30:7 33:8	specifically 46:5	STEVE 1:12	<b>supporting</b> 52:25 56:25
35:25	34:14 49:10	50:14	stockpiling	58:4
sign 17:8 36:15	53:2 55:11	specifics 46:25	31:22 32:13	supposed 25:8
SILVA 2:12	57:1	speculation 8:15	stop 59:14	27:9
similar 47:3	slopes 17:20,22	speculation 8.13	stop 39.14 store 15:22	<b>Supremo</b> 15:22
48:24 49:8	18:11,12 30:13	square 37:3,10	storm 19:8	sure 21:9 39:16
57:6	30:20 55:15	37:18 38:4,13	stormwater 19:6	43:5 45:11,23
similarly 49:16	60:14	38:21,25 39:2	36:25 38:8,17	49:1 50:24
simple 58:25	<b>sloping</b> 19:2	39:7 49:12	39:15 41:1,5,8	52:3 60:20
simple 58.25 simply 54:22	socketed 57:3	60:13 62:20,21	strata 27:1	surface 16:4
sin 12:2 13:20	58:6	63:7,10,17	street 15:21 17:6	38:5 39:1,3,8
14:25 15:11	soil 25:18 26:14	64:15,20	17:7,9 19:13	39:14 41:10
20:13 21:3	27:1 30:5,25	stability 49:13	stress 53:2	49:9 51:3
44:10 46:20	32:14 38:11,12	57:1	structural 22:19	52:22,24 55:24
53:10 55:12	38:14 43:15	stabilization	26:17 54:15,20	survey 24:11,24
56:17	54:12 55:4	30:14,15,22	stuff 53:20	33:15 34:14,18
site 3:18 4:3	56:24,25	stabilize 51:18	subject 39:11	35:18 44:1
11:6 12:17,18	soils 23:5 24:19	stabilized 51:20	submission 14:7	surveyor 34:5
13:25 14:2,15	25:15 26:3,23	stairs 51:12,12	25:23	34:17
14:25 15:3	26:25 29:12	51:14,15 52:7	submit 11:6	sustain 33:20
16:8,21 17:1,4	41:20 50:17,21	52:8,10,12,13	24:3 38:14	40:9
17:15,17 18:5	51:22 52:23	52:15	submitted 23:23	sworn 3:9,11
17.13,17.10.3	31.44 34.43	32.13	Submitted 25.25	5 W UI II 3.7,11
	l	I	I	I

13:11 45:13	46:19,21,24	63:22	unique 12:18	56:3,3 59:17
system 16:24	65:17	tower 49:11	27:21 60:6	60:16,17,18
37:5	Thanks 21:21	traffic 39:11	units 12:8	61:22
	thing 41:18	transcript 1:3	unmute 45:5	wanted 10:24
T	49:16 54:25	28:12 67:8	updated 34:14	21:15 24:6
T 1:14 2:1 3:13	things 24:7	transitions 17:1	34:18 43:25	43:6,10 59:16
67:1,1	think 12:12,25	travel 36:21	updates 64:6	wants 57:20
<b>TABLE</b> 3:1	27:19 41:14	true 35:18 67:8	upheld 6:14	WARD 2:4
take 7:18 12:17	45:18 50:3,4	try 44:19	11:17,20	warning 17:8
12:19 21:19	54:4 55:11	trying 65:19	upholding 7:3	36:15
34:18 44:16,21	56:8 59:20	Tuesday 1:7	uploaded 11:3	wasn't 27:7,7
60:21 62:19	60:15 61:13	62:18	13:1 40:18	35:15 47:8
taken 14:20,21	66:2	tunnel 50:7,8	upper 18:17	56:4 57:24
15:5 67:9	threshold 37:23	two 12:13 15:21	Urban 2:10	watch 61:14
takes 63:20	38:1,3	17:25 33:5	use 30:3	water 19:11
talk 14:3 54:4	thresholds 38:20	38:8 50:9 52:5	utilities 19:11,13	way 11:5 14:13
talking 43:11	tie 57:4	61:5,11,19		20:3 59:8,9
52:10 54:3	time 29:10 34:22	tying 19:3 30:9	$  \overline{\mathbf{V}}  $	61:4
55:21	54:12,19 60:25	type 31:20 47:2	Vandermark	ways 57:9,10
<b>TANYA</b> 2:2	63:19 67:9	types 27:1 38:20	4:7 12:19	we'll 12:23
tea 60:3	times 52:8	typical 60:9	vantage 15:11	19:17 41:1
technical 24:18	today 4:18 11:1	typically 50:25	variance 6:2	44:11,20,21
25:3,7,10 26:9	36:24 60:5	51:4	varies 29:13	59:8,9 63:11
27:8 30:2,2	ton 29:2		51:1,3	64:2,6,9 65:18
43:14 53:11	tonight 4:11	$oldsymbol{\mathbf{U}}$	various 35:22	we're 5:13 10:1
tell 8:16 20:17	7:22 11:22	U 45:12	vast 39:19 52:9	13:1 16:9 30:7
23:1,20 29:16	12:13 13:17	unbelievably	vegetation 49:4	30:8 47:16
53:23 57:23	21:13 46:17	59:21	vehicular 39:11	52:10 54:3
terminate 35:20	53:22 64:6	uncomfortable	venued 7:10	55:5,21 56:1
terms 17:4	tonight's 9:10	65:20	version 35:1	56:11 57:1,4
testified 13:11	45:19	underneath 37:6	vertically 51:2	57:10 60:13
23:11 27:23	top 15:13 18:2,3	understand 5:21	Vice 65:3,3	61:1,4 63:9
45:13	30:7 33:11,13	6:22 7:24 8:1	video 61:14	64:4
testify 27:22	33:18 49:9	34:2 47:7	Videoconfere	we've 13:15
34:6 40:13,25	50:24 51:3,15	52:17 53:4	1:7	19:16 45:17,18
42:24 58:3	51:16,21 52:15	57:24 58:7	<b>VIDYA</b> 1:11	weather 57:11
testimony 19:20	52:18,19 62:1	60:4 64:1	view 17:17,18	weathered 51:2
29:5 40:12,23	topographic	understanding	visited 50:12	weight 49:14
41:2 42:3 53:9	24:10	9:11 11:18	visiting 50:20	welcome 55:6
56:6 59:7 67:8	topographical	43:17 59:13		went 33:10
testing 27:15	33:15	60:23	W	wide 16:22
thank 5:7,17 9:1	topography 33:4	understands	walk 13:24 33:3	willing 58:1
10:14,19 11:23	Torres 1:10	5:21	walked 33:8	win 8:10
11:24 12:3,21	61:12 62:15	Understood	50:13 52:8	winter 34:17
13:8,19,21	65:12,13	11:15 20:12	walks 25:1	witness 3:2
15:18 19:21	total 14:14	46:14 53:3	wall 20:2,11	28:17 29:3,3
20:13 21:3,8	16:24	undertaken	52:16,16	40:13,15,24
29:10 41:15,16	Totally 64:1	53:13	want 10:17,20	44:25 45:3
44:5 45:1	touch 43:10	<b>unfair</b> 61:13	11:1,11 25:8	56:2
			31:17 41:18	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	I

witnesses 11:9	6:5,7,13,14,14	52:11 63:15	<b>5</b> 3:15 16:17	
12:13,24 44:20	6:15,19,19,21	<b>16</b> 3:18	41:21 51:21	
wondering	7:3,3,6,9,21	<b>18-inch</b> 19:10	52:25 56:23	
30:23	8:2,6,20,22,23	<b>1998</b> 45:25 46:5	57:7 58:3	
<b>Wood</b> 36:7,11 <b>word</b> 64:3	9:8,21 10:3,4	<b>19th</b> 4:14,19	<b>5,000</b> 37:3,13	
	10:12 11:2,16	5:11	38:4,9,13,25	
work 19:17 26:4	11:17 13:2	2	39:2	
35:9 37:22	15:8 18:4,22	<b>2</b> 19:3 41:20,21	<b>5:30</b> 63:8	
42:2 47:2	33:22 54:14	<b>2,000</b> 37:21	<b>5:59</b> 1:8	
49:19 54:15	<b>Zoom</b> 1:7 63:9	<b>20</b> 18:20	<b>50</b> 56:24	
57:14,25	0	<b>20</b> 18.20 <b>2005</b> 46:2	<b>500</b> 49:17	
worked 3:20	<b>0.2</b> 14:15		5103 1:5	
47:25 48:22		2006 51:17	<b>56-story</b> 49:2,11	
50:9	0.9 14:14	2007 51:17	55:5	
working 48:23	<b>09/07/2023</b> 3:16	2008 46:6	6	
wouldn't 24:2	1	<b>2023</b> 1:7 9:17,22		
wraps 20:6	1 19:3 41:21,23	9:23 13:5	<b>642-4299</b> 1:25	
writ 8:5	1-and-a-half	67:20	7	
written 25:1	41:23	<b>2024</b> 62:1,18	7 44:18,19	
34:23 40:4	<b>10</b> 1:5 17:20,21	63:2,6,16	<b>7:10</b> 44:21	
wrong 58:18		64:14,20		
	20:21 41:22,23	21 3:5	<b>7:40</b> 66:6	
<u>X</u>	44:21 57:7	<b>22</b> 16:22	<b>79</b> 20:24	
<b>x</b> 1:6 3:13	61:5	<b>24th</b> 4:16	<b>7th</b> 13:4	
<b>XUNRU</b> 2:14	<b>10-foot</b> 18:11,13	<b>28</b> 1:7	8	
Y	10-foot-wide		<b>8</b> 29:13 41:22,22	
	16:16	3	67:20	
yard 16:20	10-page 13:3	341:21	<b>8,600</b> 37:17	
yeah 4:10 9:6	<b>10,000</b> 37:10,14	3-to-1 20:8	<b>802</b> 32:18	
27:11 30:24	38:10,10,21	<b>3,671</b> 39:7	002 32.10	
36:3 42:17,21	10,000-square	<b>30</b> 17:21,23	9	
43:7 48:16,18	38:1	35:14 36:1	9 4:2 41:20,22	
50:2,5,10	<b>10,519</b> 37:20	<b>30-foot</b> 18:8	63:6 64:20	
55:20 58:20	100 51:8	<b>30-second</b> 44:17	908 1:25	
59:20 60:1	<b>105</b> 19:5 20:25	30X100239700	<b>92'6</b> 21:1	
61:21	108 19:2	67:20	9th 62:15,18,21	
year 15:7 24:24	<b>10th</b> 4:16	<b>32</b> 50:1	63:16,21 64:5	
27:12 34:13,16	11.2 18:15	4	64:14 65:1,18	
yellow 16:2	115 63:4	l <del></del>	0 111 1 02.1,10	
17:20	<b>13</b> 3:4,16 29:14	441:21 62:20,21		
Yep 64:1	<b>13'7</b> 41:22	63:7,10,16		
York 46:4	<b>139</b> 49:20	64:15,20		
7	<b>14</b> 12:8,8 16:14	40:55D-70A		
Z	16:24 30:20	6:10		
<b>Z23-040</b> 3:16	<b>14-unit</b> 16:14	43 3:4		
6:9 13:5	<b>14th</b> 4:17	463:7		
<b>Zone</b> 12:5 16:19	<b>15</b> 3:17 51:4	473:20		
<b>Zone</b> 12:5 16:19 17:11	52:25 56:23	<b>47</b> 3:20 <b>499</b> 49:1 55:6		
<b>Zone</b> 12:5 16:19				